



TOPIC OF INTEREST (Not Limited)

Shizouka University, Japan

- Civil and Enviromental Engineering Mechanical Engineering and Technology
- Electrical Engineering
- Material Sciences and Engineering
- Food and Agriculture Technology
- Informatic Engineering & Technologies
- Medical & Health Technology

Indexed By: SCODUS

Compendex

nicte@uhn.ac.id

ORGANIZED BY **Engineering Faculty** Nommensen HKBP University

SUPPORTED BY

CULS, Czech Republic CYCU, Taiwan CCU Talwan FT. PBN, Medan NT Corp, Jakarta Grab, Indonesia

Co-Chairman : Dr. Sindak Hutauruk Editorial Board :

- Asoc. Prof. Petr Valasek, CULS Czech Republic
- Ing. Abraham Kabutey Ph.D., Cuis Czech Republic
 Doc. Ing. Michal Petru, Ph.D., TUL Czech Republic
- Asoc. Prof. Dr. Gurkan A. K. Gurdil, OMU Turkey
- Prof. Shiao-Shing (Simon) Chen Ph.D, NTUT Talwan
- Prof. Poki Chen, Ph.D. NTUST Taiwan - Dr. Darmawan Napitupulu, IIS - Indonesia
- Dr. Mula Sigiro, Ph.D. UHN Indonesia
- Dr. Mohd. Shahriman bin Adenan, UiTM Malaysia
- Dr. Turnad Longgo Ginta, UTP Malaysia
- Dr. Samse Pandiangan, UHN Indonesia - Dr. Tumiur Goltom, UNIMED - Indonesia.
 - Inspec
 - NASA Astrophysics Data System **Chemical Abstracts Service**

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

2nd Nommensen International Conference on Technology and Engineering

To cite this article: 2018 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 420 011001

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

PREFACE

On behalf of the 2nd Nommensen International Conference on Technology and Engineering, I would like to welcome you all speakers and participants to our campus in Medan. This city is fascinating with its culinary tourism offering tropical fruit like durian and various food and cakes that spoil our tongue. Beside its culinary tourism, the city of Medan is close to a dynamic business city with relevant past in agriculture and plantation. We hope you will have the opportunity to enjoy the food and your time while staying in this city.

This conference is the second time the NICTE series was conducted by our university. The current theme is "Sustainable Engineering, and Technology Innovation and Application." The theme is selected with the objective to bring more innovation in technology application to the current development in this city and the whole country of Indonesia. The great effort dedicated by our government to expedite the construction of massive infrastructures requires more technology innovation and application. Our contribution to this conference however small is also of valuable input to the current government effort in developing this country.

I would like to take this opprtunity to thank all the committe, speakers, authors, reviewers and participants who dedicated their effort for the successfull execution of this conference. Without your contribution, we simply could not have had this conference.

We received more than 140 submissions in this time. They came from various countries like Czech, Malaysia, Turkey and Russia in addition to those from Indonesia. We categorised the papers under seven groups, namely: Civil and Environmental Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Technology, Electrical Engineering, Material Sciences and Engineering, Food and Agriculture Technology, Informatic Engineering and Technologies, Medical and Health Technology. Some papers can be categorised conveniently into one of these groups. Others bring their own difficulties because they might be put under more than one group. Still, the committee has done a great job to send your paper to the right reviewer. All papers regardless of their standing or initial classification, were available for general discussion at the task force meeting.

We are fortunate to have five distinguished keynote speakers at the moment. They are David Herak from CULS, Badorul Abu Bakar from USM Malaysia, Shyh Leh Chen from CCU Taiwan, Katsumi Suzuki from Shizuoka University Japan and Himsar Ambarita from USU Indonesia. David Herak is currently doing extensive work in biofuels and renewable energy. Badorul Abu Bakar interest is concrete technology and brick structures. Shyh Leh Chen has filed for a patent on active magnetic bearings. Katsumi Suzuki is leading research work on plant production and environmental agriculture. Himsar Ambarita currently leads a research centre focusing on sustainable energy and biomaterial. I would like to give thanks to the five of you for the interesting keynote speech at this conference.

Finally I hope that all participants enjoy a successfull conference, make a lot of new contacts, engage in fruitful discussions and have a pleasant stay in Medan.

> Richard AM. Napitupulu 2nd NICTE CHAIRMAN

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

2nd Nommensen International Conference on Technology and Engineering

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 420 (2018) 011001 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/420/1/011001

2nd NICTE

Conference Organization

INITIATOR INSTITUTION Faculty of Engineering

Nommensen HKBP University

ORGANIZING INSTITUTION

Universitas HKBP Nommensen Czech University of Life Sciences Prague National Chung Cheng University **Supporting Institution**



Honorary Chair : Ir. Nurdin Tampubolon, MM Dr. Ir. Sabam Malau International Advisory Board : Prof. Menghui Li, CYCU - Taiwan Prof. Cheng Yuan Chang, CYCU - Taiwan Prof. Yupiter H P Manurung - Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Jiri Masek, CULS - Czech Republic Prof. Agustinus P Irawan, UNTAR - Indonesia Editorial Board : Assoc. Prof. Petr Valasek, PhD., CULS - Czech Ing. Abraham Kabutey, PhD., CULS - Czech Doc. Ing. Michal Petru, Ph.D, TUL - Czech Assoc. Prof. Dr. Gurkan AK. Gundil, OMU - Turkey Prof. Shiao-Shing Chen PhD, NTUT - Taiwan Prof. Poki Chen, PhD., NTUST - Taiwan Dr. Darmawan Napitupulu, LIPI - Indonesia Dr. Mula Sigiro, PhD., UHN - Indonesia Dr.Mohd. Shahriman bin Adenan, UiTM - Malaysia Dr. Turnad Lenggo Ginta, UTP – Malaysia Dr.Samse Pandiangan, UHN – Indonesia Dr. Tumiur Gultom, UNIMED - Indonesia Dr. Riko Arlando Saragih, UKM - Indonesia Prof. David Herak, PhD., CULS - Czech Republic Prof. Katsumi Suzuki, PhD, Shizuoka University, Japan Assoc. Prof. Dr. Himsar Ambarita, USU, Indonesia **Reviewer**: Dr. Tumiur Gultom, UNIMED - Indonesia Dr. Janter Simanjuntak, UNIMED - Indonesia Dr. Rondang Tambun, USU - Indonesia

Prof. Dr. Dyah Herwindiati, UNTAR - Indonesia Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hugeng, UMN - Indonesia Dr. Mukhtar Panjaitan, UHN - Indonesia Dr. Samse Pandiangan, UHN - Indonesia

Chairman : Dr. Richard AM. Napitupulu, ST.MT. Co-Chairman : Dr. Ir. Sindak Hutauruk, MSEE Secretary : Ir. Partahi Lumbangaol, M.Eng.Sc. Panel & Scientific Session : Dr. Mula Sigiro, PhD Dr. Samse Pandiangan

IOP Publishing

Treasurer : Yetty R. Saragih, ST.MT.

Committee Member :

Cand. Dr. Parulian Siagian, ST.MT. Cand. Dr. Libianko Sianturi, ST. MT. Cand. Dr. Ir. Timbang Pangaribuan, MT. Cand.Dr. Charles Manurung, ST.MT. Cand. Dr. Samar Tan, ST.M.Eng.

Keynote Speakers

Prof. Shyh Leh Chen, CCu, Taiwan Prof. Dr. Badorul Hisham Abubakar, USM, Malaysia

Prof. Yupiter Manurung, UTM - Malaysia Prof. Cheng Yuan Chan, CYCU – Taiwan Prof. Shyh-Leh Chen, CCU – Taiwan Dr. Gunawan Wang, BINUS - Indonesia Dr. Sagir Alva, BINUS - Indonesia Dr. Suganda Girsang, BINUS - Indonesia Assoc. Prof. Petr Valasek, CULS - Czech

2nd Nommensen International Conference on Technology and Engineering

IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 420 (2018) 011001 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/420/1/011001

Dr. Sindak Hutauruk, UHN – Indonesia Dr. Richard AM. Napitupulu, UHN – Indonesia Himsar Ambarita, Dr.Eng., USU – Indonesia Prof. Hsieh, Hung-Nien PhD, Taiwan Dr. Janner Simarmata, UNIMED – Indonesia Dr. Sabam Malau, NHU – Indonesia Dr. Noverita Situmorang, USU - Indonesia Dr. Tulus B. Sitorus, USU - Indonesia Dr. Emil Kaburuan, BINUS – Indonesia Dr. Azridjal Aziz, UNRI – Indonesia Dr. dr. Jenny Ria, NHU – Indonesia Dr. Sfenrianto, BINUS – Indonesia Dr. Dedi Trisnawarman, UNTAR – Indonesia Ing. Abraham Kabutey, PhD., CULS – Czech Dr. Hotman Manurung, UHN – Indonesia Samar Tan, MEng, ISTP – Indonesia dr. Novita S. MSc, NHU – Indonesia Robbi Rahim MT, ITM – Indonesia Partahi Lumbangaol MEng, UHN – Indonesia Timbang Pangaribuan MT, UHN – Indonesia Charles Manurung, MT, UHN – Indonesia Yetti Riris Saragi MT, UHN – Indonesia Pandapotan Siagian MSc, ITDel – Indonesia Ir. Rosnawyta S, MSc, UHN - Indonesia

Administrative and Supporting Staff :

Roslin Pasaribu Parulian Sirait, S.Kom. Ellis Sirait Poltak Siahaan Sungguh Rahmat Bohalima Dodi Siahaan Oinike Hutajulu Delfrida Purba Anggreini Sibarani Hengky Manurung Wandro Siregar Andre Sahat Gultom Rohancen B Barus Joel B Sipayung Septiani Silitonga Petra Junita Laia Hanno Anugrah Zai Hengky Manurung Rosmin Siadari Prengky J Simanungkalit





PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Failure mode analysis of ramie fiber reinforced composite material

To cite this article: Agustinus Purna Irawan 2018 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 420 012060

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Failure mode analysis of ramie fiber reinforced composite material

Agustinus Purna Irawan*

Mechanical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Tarumanagara, Jakarta

* agustinus@untar.ac.id

Abstract. This study aims to determine the failure mode of ramie fiber reinforced epoxy composite materials (RFRECM). The method used is testing the composite test sample that includes tensile test according to ASTM D 3039/D3039M, compression test according to ASTM D 695, simulation by using computer software, and morphology analysis by using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). The results show that RFRECM has SR ≥ 1 for failure criteria derived from stress theory, strain theory, and Tsai-Wu criteria, while having SR <1 only for the criteria of Tsai-Hill. Theoretically, the epoxy flax fiber composite has good strength in fiber or longitudinal direction (0^0) and decreases with the addition of the angle of the fiber. The failure mode of RFRECM is caused by voids, initial cracks, delimination and debonding occurring between 5-10% of the total area observed in the test sample. This condition can occur in all test samples as a result of imperfections of the fabrication process.

1. Introduction

One of the main problems in the development of natural fiber reinforced composite materials is knowing the failure mode, so it can be a reference in the process of loading given to components made of natural fiber reinforced composite materials. In general, the composite structure is said to fail when the structure cannot function properly. Thus, the definition of failure differs according to different needs. For the application of certain structures, small deformations are often considered to be a failure, while in other structures only total damage can be considered a failure [1], [2], [3], [4]. The microscopic internal damage of the composite material cannot be easily observed directly in plain view. Damage can occur long before the damage is apparent. Microscopic internal damage includes fiber breaking, microcrack matrix, debonding anddelamination [5], [6], [7], [8]. The fiber may break because of the load it receives. If the matrix is capable of accepting a shear force and passing it to the surrounding fibers, the broken fibers will become more numerous resulting in cracks, which further leads to brittle failure. If the matrix is unable to accept the shear stress concentration that arises at the end of the broken fibers, the fibers can be detached from the matrix (in a process called debonding). The combination of the types of failure with broken fibers above occurs in any place accompanied by damage to the matrix causing brush-type damage [6], [7], [10], [11]. This type of microscopic internal damage cannot be visually observed at all, and is only visible when the frequency of damage is large enough in the same place. Therefore, in actual conditions, it is very difficult to determine when a composite material is declared damaged or failed. In most structural cases, the composite material fails when the material has been completely damaged, or the stress-strain curve shown is no longer linear. This condition applies both to lamina and laminate [6], [7], [8]. This study aims to determine the failure mode of RFRECM, by using the criteria of failure of maximum stress theory, maximum strain

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

theory, Tsai Hill criterion and Tsai Wu criterion, so it can be used as a reference in designing components using RFRECM. An understanding of the failure mode can be used to anticipate failure of components made with RFRECM, making the process a lot safer to do.

2. Method and materials

2.1. Sample preparation

Samples of composite materials were prepared by using ramie fibers with epoxy matrix (RFRECM). Composite materials are manufactured by using hand lay up and laminate mat methods [12], [13], [14], [15].

2.2. Mechanical properties testing

RFRECM sample that has been made has its mechanical characteristics tested according to tests as follows Tabel 1.

Table 1. Mechanical properties testing [8], [9]						
No.	Strength	Standard				
1.	Tensile Strength	ASTM D3039/D3039M				
2.	Shear Strength	ASTM D4255M-83				
3.	Compressive Strength	ASTM D695				

2.3. Calculation of failure criteriausing software

By using the result data of mechanical characteristics weand calculation of strength using composite micromechanical equation, strength ratio and failure criterion from RFRECM can be obtained by using Promal Composite Software [7].

2.4. Morphological analysis of RFRECM by scanning electron microscope

The result of this research is equipped with morphological analysis by using SEM to get interface information between the fiber and the matrix, so that the failure mode of RFRECMcan be known [6], [7], [8], [9].

2.5. Maximum stress theory

In this criterion, lamina failure occurs when one of the stresses σ_1 , σ_2 , and τ_{12} reaches the allowable amount of stress.

$$-\left(\sigma_{1}^{C}\right)_{ult} < \sigma_{1} < \left(\sigma_{1}^{T}\right)_{ult} \text{ or }$$

$$-\left(\sigma_{2}^{C}\right)_{ult} < \sigma_{2} < \left(\sigma_{2}^{T}\right)_{ult} \text{ or }$$

$$-\left(\tau_{12}\right)_{ult} < \tau_{12} < \left(\tau_{12}\right)_{ult}$$

$$(1)$$

2.6. Maximum strain theory

In this criterion, lamina failure occurs when one of the strain components ε_1 , ε_2 , and ε_{12} reaches the allowable amount of strain.

$$-\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{C}\right)_{ult} < \varepsilon_{1} < \left(\varepsilon_{1}^{T}\right)_{ult} \text{ or }$$

$$-\left(\varepsilon_{2}^{C}\right)_{ult} < \varepsilon_{2} < \left(\varepsilon_{2}^{T}\right)_{ult} \text{ or }$$

$$-\left(\gamma_{12}\right)_{ult} < \gamma_{12} < \left(\gamma_{12}\right)_{ult}$$

$$(2)$$

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 420 (2018) 012060 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/420/1/012060

2.7. Tsai Hillcriterion

This criterion was developed based on distorsion energy failure theory by Von Mises for anisotropic materials. For orthotropic lamina, this criterion becomes:

$$\left[\frac{\sigma_1}{(\sigma_1^T)_{ult}}\right]^2 - \left[\frac{\sigma_1\sigma_2}{(\sigma_1^T)_{ult}^2}\right] + \left[\frac{\sigma_2}{(\sigma_2^T)_{ult}}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{\tau_{12}}{(\tau_{12})_{ult}}\right]^2 < 1$$
(3)

2.8. Tsai Wucriterion

This criterion was developed based on total strain energy failure theory by Bestrami. This theory is applied to determine stresses on the lamina.

$$H_{1}\sigma_{1} + H_{2}\sigma_{2} + H_{6}\tau_{12} + H_{11}\sigma_{1}^{2} + H_{22}\sigma_{2}^{2} + H_{66}\tau_{12}^{2} + 2H_{12}\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} < 1$$
(4)
with: $H_{1} = \frac{1}{(\sigma_{1}^{T})_{ult}} - \frac{1}{(\sigma_{1}^{C})_{ult}}, H_{11} = \frac{1}{(\sigma_{1}^{T})_{ult}(\sigma_{1}^{C})_{ult}}$
 $H_{2} = \frac{1}{(\sigma_{2}^{T})_{ult}} - \frac{1}{(\sigma_{2}^{C})_{ult}}, H_{22} = \frac{1}{(\sigma_{2}^{T})_{ult}(\sigma_{2}^{C})_{ult}}, H_{12} = -\frac{1}{2(\sigma_{1}^{T})_{ult}^{2}}$
 $H_{6} = 0, H_{66} = \frac{1}{(\tau_{12})_{ult}^{2}}$

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Strength Ratio

Tabel 2.Summar	y of	lamina	micro	mec	hanical	calci	ulations

No.	Vf	σ ₁ (MPa)	σ ₂ (MPa)	τ ₁₂ (MPa)	E ₁ (GPa)	E ₂ (GPa)	G ₁₂ (GPa)	v_{12}	ε1	ε ₂	γ12
1	0.1	131	46	12	5.1	3.2	1.2	0.290	0.02587	0.01439	0.0099
2	0.2	179	41	13	7.2	3.5	1.4	0.280	0.02473	0.01165	0.0099
3	0.3	226	38	15	9.4	3.9	1.5	0.270	0.02412	0.00955	0.0099
4	0.4	274	35	17	11.5	4.5	1.7	0.260	0.02374	0.00778	0.0099
5	0.5	322	32	20	13.7	5.2	2.0	0.250	0.02348	0.00622	0.0099
Note:											
Ramie fiber: $\sigma_f = 560$ MPa, $\tau_f = 36$ MPa, $E_f 24.5$ GPa, $\epsilon_f = 0.0287$											

Epoxy Matrix: $\sigma_m = 40$ MPa, $\tau_m = 34$ MPa, $E_m 2.9$ GPa, $\varepsilon_m = 0.021$

Strength Ratio (SR) is the ratio between the load that can be accepted by the composite structure and the given load [7].

$$SR = \frac{Maximum load which can be applied}{load apllied}$$
(5)

The analysis by using SR is as follows:

a) If SR> 1, the lamina is safe and can accept the load

b) If SR <1, the lamina is not safe and cannot accept the load

c) If SR = 1, the lamina is not safe in accepting the load and is damaged.

Based on the test results of RFRECM and analysis using the Promal Software [7] and use equation (5), graph of the relationship between SR and fiber orientation can be made referring to some failure theory.



Figure 1. Strength Ratio (SR) of RFRECM

Based on Figure 1, the RFRECM has SR ≥ 1 for three failure criterias and SR <1 only for the Tsai-Hill failure criteria. Thus,RFRECM is theoretically capable of coping stress or strain and will not fail as long as the applied load does not exceed the maximum limit. In this case, it is necessary to set the maximum limit of load acceptable to the RFRECM.In accordance with theoretical studies,in the direction of longitudinal fiber (0⁰), the fiber's ability to receive the load is excellent; however it will decrease along with changes in fiber orientation [17], [18], [19].

3.2. RFRECM failure mode

By using Promal Software [7] and use equation (1), (2), (3), (4), the failure envelope of RFRECM based on the four failure criterias can be described as one graph based on the following fiber orientation.



Maximum stress theory; (2) Maximum strain theory;
 Tsai – Hill criterion; (4) Tsai – Wu criterion



Based on the envelope image of lamina failure (Figure 2), it is seen that in the fiber orientation 0^0 (longitudinal), the fiber's handling of both the compressive load (positive X

axis) and the compressive load (negative X axis) has greater value when compared to the same aspect in Y axis direction. This applies to all failure criteria. Lamina will fail in accordance with each criterion of failure if the received stress goes beyond the outer limit of each envelope. Lamina will be able to safely receive the load when the actual stress is still in the envelope [7], [20], [21], [22].



Maximum stress theory; (2) Maximum strain theory;
 Tsai – Hill criterion; (4) Tsai – Wu criterion

Figure 3.Failure envelope of RFRECM $(\pm 45^{\circ})$

In the fiber orientation $\pm 45^{\circ}$, based on the failure envelope (Figure 3), it is seen that the lamina's ability to receive loads in the direction of $\pm 45^{\circ}$ decreases when compared to the capability at the angle of 0° . This applies to all failure criteria, either for tensile loads or directional tap X axis. Differences in the ability to with stand X-axis and Y-axis are not too large and tend to have the same value. This condition occurs because the load received by the lamina is distributed in a balance toward $\pm 45^{\circ}$, although its ability is lower than the 0° angle.



Maximum stress theory; (2) Maximum strain theory;
 Tsai – Hill criterion; (4) Tsai – Wu criterion

Figure 4.Failure envelope of RFRECM $(\pm 90^{\circ})$

In fiber orientation 90^{0} (Figure 4), lamina is able to accept larger quantity of load occurring on the Y axis, compared to the X axis. Thus, it can be concluded that the unidirectional loading of fiber will result in greater lamina strength to accept the load. As a result, the design of the loading direction of the lamina is cultivated in the direction of the fibers, which helps making the lamina not susceptible to damage due to the received load [6], [7], [9].

3.3. Morphological analysis of RFRECM by scanning electron microscope

The structure is declared to fail if it can no longer work properly. The definition of engineering material failure differs according to the application requirements of the product. For the application of certain structures, small deformations can already be considered a failure, while in the other structures, only total damage can be considered one. In composite materials, microscopic internal damage can occur long before the real damage is apparent. This microscopic internal damage occurs in several forms, such as fiber breaking, voids, matrix microcracks, debonding, and delamination [6], [7], [8], [9], [17], [20]. To obtain more real information on RFRECM damage mode, SEM (Scanning Electron Microscope) photos are used to observe delamination, debonding and void events of the test samples (Figure 5).



Figure5.Morphological analysis of RFRECM by SEM

No.	Failure mode	% damage to total observation area
1	Initial crack	± 10%
2	Void	± 10%
3	Debonding	± 5%

Table 3. Result of morphological analysis of RFRECM by SEM

In laminates with low fiber volume fraction (10-20%), there is a case of micro-cracking as a result of less strong bond between the composite layer and matrix. This occurs because in laminates with a small fraction of fiber volume (Vf <20%), the strength of the ramie fiber

does not significantly affect the overall composite strength. The strength of the laminate composite is still influenced by the strength of the matrix. As a result of RFRECM with low fiber volume fraction, the failure occurs and the laminate becomes brittle. In laminate with medium fiber volume fraction between 30-40%, composite strength has been dominated by the strength of ramie fiber as a composite reinforcement. At Vf 30-40%, the bond between the fiber and the matrix is strong because the matrix is able to moisten the entire fiber perfectly, thereby greatly affect the final strength of the composite formed. The fracture form of the test sample is splitted in multiple areas, indicating the RFRECM with Vf 30-40% has good elastic strength and modulus. Damage does not occur in one place, but in many places in the form of fractures. At a high fraction of fiber volume (50%), the number of pre-delamination and voids occurring in RFRECM increases considerably. The matrix is unable to lubricate the entire fiber perfectly, so the bond between the fiber and the matrix is not strong. In these conditions, there is a lot of empty space that causes air to get trapped and therefore voids are produced. If the fiber is not dampened perfectly by the matrix, the bond between the fiber and the matrix becomes imperfect. In this case, the composite layer will easily experience debonding and delamination. Along with the occurrence of delamination and debonding, the composite strength also decreased [7], [15], [16], [17].

4. Conclusion

RFRECM has SR ≥ 1 for three failure criterias and SR <1 only for the Tsai-Hill failure criteria, which means that it has good strength, but will fail if the applied load exceeds the maximum limit of load. In accordance with theoretical studies, in the direction of longitudinal fiber (0⁰), the fiber's ability to receive the load is excellent, however, it will decrease along with changes in fiber orientation [6], [7], [16], [17], [20]. RFRECM failure mode occurs due to voids, initial cracks, delamination, and debonding that take place between 5-10% of the total area observed in the test sample. This condition can happen due to imperfection of fabrication process by using hand lay up method at room temperature, in vacuum process with no pressure. With the imperfection of the fabrication process, ideal conditions such as the law of mixtures are difficult to obtain. In RFRECM fabrication process with hand lay up method, there are fabrication imperfections. Three major phenomena are successfully observed, either by direct observation of the test sample, fabrication process, and observation using SEM and macro photo aid. Therefore, it can be concluded that it is necessary to give correction factor to void, initial crack, and debonding to RFRECM.

4. References

- [1] Irawan, A.P., Fediyanto, Tandi, S. 2006 *Proceedings of Ergo Future* vol. 1 pp. 337-341.
- [2] Nguong, C.W., Lee, S.N.B,Sujan, D. 2013 International Journal of Materials and Metallurgical Engineering7-1-52.
- [3] Irawan, A.P., Halim, H., Kurniawan, H. 2017 *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. vol. 237. pp. 1-8.
- [4] Pamuk, G. 2016 Tekstilec **59**-3-237.
- [5] Zhou, X., Ghaffar, S.H., Dong, W., Oladiran, O., Fan, M. 2013 Materials and Design **49**-35.
- [6] Hadi, B.K.,2000 Mekanika Struktur Komposit (Bandung:Penerbit ITB)
- [7] Autar K Kaw, A.K., 1997*Mechanics of Composite Materials* (New York:CRC Press)
- [8] ASTM., 2013 Annual Book of ASTM Standard (West Conshohocken)

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 420 (2018) 012060 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/420/1/012060

- [9] ASTM International, 2012 *The Composite Materials Handbook MIL 17*(West Conshohocken).
- [10] G. Venkatesha Prasanna, G.V., Subbaiah, V., 2013 Malaysian Polymer Journal 8-1-38.
- [11] Brouwer, W.D. 2000 On the Occasion of the Joint FAO/CFC Seminar(Rome, Italy).
- [12] Irawan, A.P., Soemardi, T.P., Widjajalaksmi, K., Reksoprodjo, A.H.S.,2009 Jurnal Teknik Mesin UK. Petra11-1-41.
- [13] Irawan, A.P., Soemardi, T.P., Widjajalaksmi, K., Reksoprodjo, A.H.S.,2011 Jurnal Teknik Mesin ITS **11**-1-1.
- [14] Irawan, A.P., Daywin, F.J., Fanando, Agustino, T. 2016 International Journal of Engineering and Technology 8-3-1543-1550
- [15] Irawan, A.P., Sukania, I.W., 2011 International Conference on Innovation in Polymer Science and Technology vol 1-64 (Denpasar-Bali).
- [16] Irawan, A.P., Soemardi, T.P., Widjajalaksmi, K., Reksoprodjo, A.H.S., 2011 International Journal of Mechanical and Material Engineering. **6**-1-46.
- [17] Irawan, A.P., Sukania, I.W., 2012 Proceeding of 2nd International Conference on Sustainable Technology Development M.109-M.115 (Denpasar-Bali).
- [18] Maleque, M.A.,Belal, F.Y.,Sapuan,S.M., 2007 *The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering* **32**-2b-359.
- [19] Prasanna, G.V., Subbaiah, K.V., 2013 Malaysian Polymer Journal, 8-1-38.
- [20] Irawan, A.P., Soemardi, T.P., Widjajalaksmi, K., Reksoprodjo, A.H.S.,2010 International Conference APHCI Ergofuture 2010 (Denpasar Bali Indonesia)
- [21] Onal, L., Karaduman, Y., 2009 Journal of Composite Materials 43-1.
- [22] Mishra, P. 2012 International Journal of Applied Research in Mechanical Engineering 2-2.



?

Sign in

Source details

IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering	CiteScore 2018	Ū
Scopus coverage years: from 2009 to Present	0.55	
ISSN: 1757-8981 E-ISSN: 1757-899X		
Subject area: (Engineering: General Engineering) (Materials Science: General Materials Science)	sjr 2018 0.192	(i)
View all documents > Set document alert I Save to source list Journal Homepage		
	SNIP 2018 0.531	()

CiteScore	CiteScore rank & trend	CiteScore presets	Scopus content coverage	
Year	Documents published		Actions	
2020	8,780 documents		View citation overview >	
2019	20,504 documents		View citation overview >	
2018	15,811 documents		View citation overview >	
2017	8,740 documents		View citation overview >	
2016	3,676 documents		View citation overview >	
2015	2,253 documents		View citation overview >	
2014	932 documents		View citation overview >	
2013	621 documents		View citation overview >	
2012	595 documents		View citation overview >	
2011	800 documents		View citation overview >	
2010	79 documents		View citation overview >	
2009	180 documents		View citation overview >	

About Scopus	Language	Customer Service
What is Scopus	日本語に切り替える	Help
Content coverage	切换到简体中文	Contact us
Scopus blog	切換到繁體中文	
Scopus API	Русский язык	
Privacy matters		

ELSEVIER

IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering

Viz Tools

also developed by scimago: SCIMAGO INSTITUTIONS RANKINGS



Scimago Journal & Country Rank Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name

Home

Journal Rankings

Country Rankings

Help About Us



Country	United Kingdom SIR Ranking of United Kingdom	21
Subject Area and Category	Engineering Engineering (miscellaneous)	24
	Materials Science Materials Science (miscellaneous)	H Index
Publisher		
Publication type	Conferences and Proceedings	
ISSN	17578981, 1757899X	
Coverage	2009-ongoing	
Scope	The open access IOP Conference Series provides a fast, versatile and cost- proceedings publication service for your conference. Key publishing subjec physics, materials science, environmental science, bioscience, engineering, science and mathematics.	effective t areas include: , computational
?	Homepage	
	How to publish in this journal	
	Contact	
	igodot Join the conversation about this journal	

Citations per document

IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering

