

Comments from Reviewer A

<p>❖ Recommendation to Editor (Please mark “x” for appropriate option)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Excellent, accept the submission (5)</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Good, accept the submission with minor revisions required (4)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Acceptable, revisions required (3)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Resubmit for review, major revisions required (2)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Decline the submission (1)</p>
--

The editor will forward the section below to author/s

<p>Evaluation (Please assign the score for each item below)</p>	
<p>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Below Average 1=Poor n/a=Not Applicable</p>	
Items	Grade
Overall evaluation on the paper	
1. Contribution to existing knowledge	4
2. Appropriate formatting and structure	4.0
3. Readability	3.0
4. Soundness of methodology	4.5
5. Evidence supports conclusion	4.5
6. Adequacy of literature review	4.5
<p>❖ Strengths</p> <p>The paper entitled “COVID-19 PANDEMIC IN PERSPECTIVE OF THE STATE OF DANGER OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW” is received for review and in my view the above title is suitable for publication.</p>	
<p>❖ Weaknesses</p>	

In my view

The background needs restructuring to highlight the objective of the study.

Please check the missing references.

Comments from Reviewer B

❖ Recommendation to Editor (Please mark “x” for appropriate option)
<input type="radio"/> Excellent, accept the submission (5)
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Good, accept the submission with minor revisions required (4)
<input type="radio"/> Acceptable, revisions required (3)
<input type="radio"/> Resubmit for review, major revisions required (2)
<input type="radio"/> Decline the submission (1)

The editor will forward the section below to author/s

Evaluation (Please assign the score for each item below)	
5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Below Average 1=Poor n/a=Not Applicable	
Items	Grade
Overall evaluation on the paper	
1. Contribution to existing knowledge	4
2. Appropriate formatting and structure	4.0
3. Readability	3.0
4. Soundness of methodology	4.5
5. Evidence supports conclusion	4.5
6. Adequacy of literature review	4.5
❖ Strengths	
The paper entitled “ COVID-19 PANDEMIC IN PERSPECTIVE OF THE STATE OF DANGER OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW ”. In my view the above title is suitable for publication Baltic Journal of Law & Politics.	
❖ Weaknesses	

- In my view the authors must
 - a. Include the objective of the study.
 - b. Add more literature.
 - c. Please check the missing references.

ACCEPTANCE LETTER

09/06/2022

Author Name/s	Rasji
Paper Title	COVID-19 PANDEMIC IN PERSPECTIVE OF THE STATE OF DANGER OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
Paper Status	ACCEPTED

Dear Author/s,

We are pleased to inform you that your paper, submitted for publishing in Seybold Report (ISSN 1533-9211) (TSRJ) has been accepted based on the recommendations from the Editorial Review Committee. TSRJ would like to request you to go through the Email to complete the necessary formalities for the smooth publication of the Research Paper.

Thank you for submitting your academic research work with Seybold Report. I believe that your collaboration with us will help to accelerate the global knowledge creation and sharing one step further. For any further Queries and support mail us to editor@seyboldreport.org

Looking forward to a good collaboration



Editor in Chief
Seybold Report
(A unit of Seybold Publications)

