

# THE FAMILY WELL-BEING: A DYADIC ANALYSIS OF PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP QUALITY

*By* Yohanes Budiarto

# THE FAMILY WELL-BEING: A DYADIC ANALYSIS OF PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP QUALITY

**Yohanes Budiarto\***<sup>12</sup> Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia, Indonesia, [yohanesb@psi.untar.ac.id](mailto:yohanesb@psi.untar.ac.id)

**Fransisca Iriani Roesmala Dewi,**<sup>12</sup> Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia, Indonesia

**Rahmah Hastuti,**<sup>12</sup> Faculty of Psychology, Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia, Indonesia

## Abstract

<sup>4</sup> The emotional, psychological, and social well-being of a family are influenced by how parent-child relations quality is perceived by each other, both of the child and father, as well as the child and the mother. This study <sup>29</sup> focused on the dyadic analysis of parent-child quality <sup>22</sup> relationships prediction on the emotional, psychological, and social well-being of the family member in Indonesia. The study involved 230 dyads comprised of fathers, mothers, and children <sup>13</sup> who completed the Revised Parent-Child Interaction Questionnaire measuring the parent-child <sup>15</sup> relationship quality and the Mental Health Continuum Short Form (MHC-SF) to <sup>6</sup> measure family well-being. Adopting the actor-partner interdependence model (APIM) and structural equation modeling (SEM) as the statistics technique, the results showed that in general, no partner's effect was found. To be specific, dyadic relations between father and child showed an actor's effect influencing their well-being. On the other hand, the dyadic relations between child and mother showed neither the actor's effect nor the partner's effect on their well-being. The study highlights the vital role of fathers and adolescents in their own well-being.

*Keywords:* A Dyadic Analysis; Parent-Child Relationship Quality; Family Well-Being, Actor–Partner Interdependence Model

## **Introduction**

The city's well-being is one of the measured components in seeing the quality of life in the city. Various surveys related to the measurement of happiness index have been done in all cities in Indonesia by the Central Statistics Agency (BPS). Data findings since 2014 show that the urban happiness index is higher than the rural population happiness index ("Indonesians 'quite happy', according to BPS happiness index," 2017).

On a scale of 0-100, the general index of happiness of Indonesian society is at 70.69. This index means that, in general, the people of Indonesia are quite happy. According to the survey, family harmony was the happiest factor among Indonesian people, while education and skills were the lowest.

Other reports stated that <sup>5</sup> Indonesian youths are among the happiest in the world, followed by Nigeria and India ("Indonesian Youths," 2017). This study was conducted <sup>5</sup> by London-based research and strategy consultancy *Populus* on the well-being, priorities, ambitions, and beliefs of more than 20,000 youths aged 15-21 years old in 20 countries.

Jakarta is the 4th happy city based on BPS analysis with an index of 69.21 ("A happy city," 2015). The specific findings related to the happiness index in Jakarta is that the highest happiness index is in the community with higher education level: masters and doctoral degree, that is equal to 79.78. This happiness index is in contrast to individuals who are not or have not gone to school with a happiness index of 63.99.

Findings from the happiness index in Jakarta also showed that those who are not married showed the lowest index of 67.76.

According to Carr and Springer (2010), marriage is one of the protective factors for the physical and emotional well-being of the individual. However, previous research showed that the effect of family on emotional and physical well-being depends on the condition of each family. Problematic marriage significantly depletes the individual emotional aspects of the family while good-quality marriages provide good psychological and physical <sup>3</sup> benefits, especially to women (Proulx, Helms, & Buehler, 2007) and the elderly (Umberson, Williams, Powers, Liu, & Needham, 2006).

Talking about well-being in the marriage certainly not only talks about the well-being measured in husband and wife but also the child. Within a marriage, families of all types can nurture children well, including families with the diversity of caregiving structures, cultural beliefs, socio-economic levels, language used in the home, and country of origin.

The relationship between parent and child concerning <sup>1</sup> child outcomes has most often been analyzed in terms of parenting strategies ' behavioral dimensions. The parenting aspects most studied, as outlined by O'Connor (2002), <sup>1</sup> include: (a) warmth /support/responsiveness; (b) dispute or refusal; (c) level of supervision and punitive control techniques; and (d) autonomy promotion. The family setting <sup>1</sup> is a key determinant of lifelong subjective well-being, including the years of childhood and adolescence (Diener and Diener McGavran 2008). A cross-sectional study of 587 American middle school students, for instance, found that high life satisfaction was much more linked <sup>1</sup> to positive relationships with parents than with friends (Ma and Huebner 2008) in early adolescence.

A study of empirical studies of several youth samples in different cultures found that the parenting practices associated with high youth subjective well-being are consistent with an authoritative parenting style with particular emphasis on high levels of warmth, responsibility, and emotional support (Suldo 2009). Low subjective well-being, on the other hand, seems to co-occur with parental control and punishment, as well as a conflict between parent and child.

The strong link between youth well-being and relationships between parent and child is high across cultures. Findings of the study by Schwarz and colleagues (2012) concluded that parental warmth and acceptance are relatively independent of the respective cultural values for early adolescents. Longitudinal studies indicated that their family experiences could form the well-being of children. This bi-directionality is demonstrated by research involving a study of 819 middle and high school students who measured their life satisfaction and the authoritative parenting rates of parents at two-time points separated by one year (Saha et al. 2010). The findings included that the following year, higher levels of authoritative parenting were associated with higher youth life satisfaction.

A family-related analysis of a child's hope found that higher levels of child's hope were associated with higher levels of perceived attachment to their mothers and fathers in a group of 489 children (ages 9–14) ( $r = .51$  to  $.54$ ) (Padilla-Walker et al. 2011). From this study, the strong association between a child's hope and perceived attachment between the child with the mother was weaker than that between the child with the father.

Research concerning relationships within a family is challenging for researchers due to its various approaches. A challenge in studying family lies on whether the unit

analysis is an individual member of the family, a dyad, or a group. Family systems theory stresses the interconnected relationship between father, mother, and child. Not exclusively does each add to the complex interactions building up the system relationships, however inside the family are settled the related subsystems of father-child, mother-child, and father-mother dyads (Holmes and Huston, 2010).

The insights from studies of the parent-child relationship and its impacts on the family well-being show a need to study it within dyadic contexts of the parent-child relations. The differences in the child's experience of the perception toward the experience with the father and the mother are also important to study within the child-parent dyadic relationship. This study focuses on how the dyadic relationship in which a child perceives companionship in the relationships with his/her mother/father and at the same time, the effect of his/her father/mother's companionship in the relationships with him/her influence child well-being. In this study, we collected data from both of the partners and their children.

### **Adolescents and parents**

Shearer, Crouter, and McHale (2005) cited Steinberg's presidential address, which called for research on the psychological processes of teenagers in family relations. Surviving studies demonstrate that the parent-youngster relationship may change amid the adolescent years. Even though the well-known generalization of immaturity as a period of the inescapable and widespread tempest and stress is, to a great extent, unwarranted (e.g., Buchanan, Eccles, and Becker, 1992), impermanent bothers in parent-youngster connections do happen (Steinberg and Silk, 2002). The recent literature gives some depiction of parents' convictions about and expectations for pre-adulthood. Steady with standard social generalizations of youthful tempest

and stress, parents report that they believe that young people are prone to participate in more elevated amounts of insubordination, issue practices, and exemplary pre-adult practices. For example, be materialistic and tuning in to music and to bring down levels of prosocial characteristics (Buchanan and Holmbeck, 1998) than primary school-age kids. Besides, as per Buchanan (2003), mothers' generalized convictions about young people (i.e., pessimistic generalizations about the formative time frame) anticipate their desires for their own kids' attributes amid adolescence.

Ryff, Lee, Essex, and Schmutte (1994) found a substantial relationship between parents' assessments of how their youngsters had "turned out" and their well-being with the end goal that parents who revealed more positive assessments of their kids had elevated psychological well-being. View of progress additionally may impact everyday interactions in the family condition. As per parents' thoughts regarding their youngsters' advancement, shape hopes about how kids will carry on.

Some study findings reveal a consistent trend that, time went through with parents tends to diminish amid puberty (Larson, Richards, Moneta, Holmbeck, and Duckett, 1996). Second, passionate closeness with parents likewise tends to diminish. Third, clash amongst parents and adolescence appears to increment amid early pre-adulthood and after that declines by late pre-adulthood (Paikoff and Brooks-Gunn, 1991). However, Arnett (1999) stated that the parents saw the conflict elevation between adolescence and them as a stressful developmental period faced by adolescence. Shearer et al. (2005) found that relationships within the family were studied to find a comparison between the mother-father-adolescence relationship.

Differences are always found when explaining what positive relationship quality and negative relationship quality among parents-adolescence relationships are.

Adolescence is the time when they spend more time with their peers. This period has an impact on research emphasis on adolescents and their peers compared <sup>19</sup> to the quality of the relationship between them and their parents (Harris, 1998). Van Wel, Linssen, & Abma (2000) stated that parent-child relations were essential factors in adolescents' well-being. In a more detail study, Biller & Kimptom (1997) found the influence of affection quality of parent-child relationship toward child well-being, which went into adulthood.

O'Brien and Shemilt (2003) reported increasing <sup>2</sup> men's participation in their children's <sup>11</sup> lives. Research suggests that the companionship of a father in his relationship with his child is still in the area of playing together as well as outing together. Even though mothers are by large included for the most part in providing care and arrangement of passionate security, fathers are mainly engaged with play and exploratory exercises (Hewlett, 1992). Expanding <sup>6</sup> on Schaffer and Emerson's (1964) finding that fathers can and do move toward becoming bonding figures for their newborn children, exact research has frequently demonstrated that men are very much prepared to go about as caregivers.

### **Relationship quality and well-being**

The essence of a prosperous life is, of course, not only based on the assessment of individual life characteristics but also includes a division of life that has a function or a public and private task (Keyes, 1998). Mead (1934) explained that the individual self is both individual and public processes. Many individual psychological concepts involve an assessment of two things affecting the individual: intrinsic and extrinsic.

For example, <sup>30</sup> the concept of self-esteem or self-concept is an aspect of personality characterized by an assessment and attention to information within and outside of self (Keyes, 1998). Approaches to happiness and wellbeing in psychology focus more on the internal aspects of the individual. Keyes (1998), therefore, sees the urgency of measuring the quality of social welfare (public) and not just focusing on the private aspects (Diener, 1984, Campbel, 1981, Ryff, 1989 and Ryff and Keyes, 1995). Based on this thinking, this research bases the concept of family well-being measurement on the concept of well-being encompassing the welfare of intrinsic (private) values such as emotional well-being and psychological well-being as well as a public appraisal of social well-being. Operationally, in this research, the family's well-being is families whose high <sup>14</sup> emotional well-being, psychological well-being, and social well-being. The family's well-being as the output of psychological processes within the family is <sup>26</sup> based on the analysis of the dyadic quality of relationships among the family members. The emotional atmosphere in each family member: father, mother, and child determine the quality of family harmony. Excellent <sup>11</sup> quality of the dyadic relationship between father and his adolescent child as well as mother and her adolescent child, especially in the quality of conflict resolution and acceptance, may increase their <sup>17</sup> emotional well-being, psychological well-being, and social well-being.

## Method

### Research Design

We implemented a dyadic cross-sectional study <sup>6</sup> using the actor-partner interdependence model (APIM) by Kenny (2005) to identify a partner's effect on the

dependent variable. The quantitative data analysis was structural equation modeling (SEM). Before SEM, the distinguishability test was carried out to empirically tested whether the dyad members were distinguishable. Each dyad consisted of a pair of data from father and child and the data from paired mother and child.

## Participants

Data were collected conveniently from 230 dyads of 690 participants from Jakarta, Yogyakarta, and Surabaya cities. Firstly, the researchers recruited a student at the faculty and asked for the possibility to participate in the study. Once participation was confirmed, the researchers asked the student to involve both parents in the study. After four months of effort, 230 families confirmed their full participation in the study. Table 1 provides demographic information.

Table 1

### *Descriptive Demographics of the Participants*

| Characteristics    | Mean   | SD    | (n or %) |
|--------------------|--------|-------|----------|
| Age                |        |       |          |
| Child              | 19.073 | 3.211 | 230      |
| Father             | 51.402 | 6.434 | 230      |
| Mother             | 47.475 | 6.094 | 230      |
| Father's Education |        |       |          |
| High school        |        |       | 47.5%    |
| Some College       |        |       | 53 %     |
| Mother's Education |        |       |          |
| Elementary         |        |       | 1.7%     |
| Junior High school |        |       | 5.6%     |
| Senior High School |        |       | 59.5%    |
| Some College       |        |       | 7.8%     |
| Undergraduate      |        |       | 22.4%    |
| Master             |        |       | 3%       |
| Child's Education  |        |       |          |
| Junior High school |        |       | 59%      |
| High school        |        |       | 41%      |
| Some College       |        |       | 3.4%     |
| Undergraduate      |        |       | 42.7%    |
| Master             |        |       | .4%      |
| Father's job       |        |       |          |
| Civil servants     |        |       | 20.7%    |
| Private employee   |        |       | 37.8%    |

| Characteristics  | Mean | SD | (n or %) |
|------------------|------|----|----------|
| Profesional      |      |    | 3.7%     |
| Entrepreneur     |      |    | 28%      |
| House husband    |      |    | 1.2%     |
| Pension          |      |    | 3.7%     |
| Clergy           |      |    | 2.4%     |
| Labor            |      |    | 2.4%     |
| Mother's job     |      |    |          |
| Civil servants   |      |    | 6%       |
| Private employee |      |    | 13.8%    |
| Entrepreneur     |      |    | 19%      |
| House mother     |      |    | 50.9%    |
| Pension          |      |    | 2.6%     |
| Clergy           |      |    | 2.2%     |
| Child's gender   |      |    |          |
| Male             |      |    | 32.3%    |
| Female           |      |    | 67.7%    |

## Measures

*Parent-Child Relations Quality.* Participants completed the Revised <sup>13</sup> Parent-Child Interaction Questionnaire (PACHIQ-R) developed by Lange et al. (2002), measuring the parent-child relationship quality. PACHIQ-R has two sub-scales: Acceptance (feelings) sub-scale consisting of 8 items and Conflict Resolution (behavior) sub-scale consisting of 17 items. Each modified sub-scale measures <sup>3</sup> how parents view their relationship with their children and how children evaluate their relationships with their parents. Child, father and mother rated the items in dyadic relationship: child-mother and child father, on a five-point <sup>16</sup> Likert-type scale (1 = *Never*, 2 = *Seldom*, 3 = *Sometimes*, 4 = *Often*, 5 = *Always*).

<sup>3</sup> All of the items in the scale are identical except that the word "father" is replaced by the word "mother" in Mother-Child Version. The acceptance subscale sample items in Mother-Child Version are: "My mother and I get on well" and "When I do something for my mother, I see that she appreciates it." The Conflict Resolution sub-scale in Mother-Child relations is expressed in the sample of the statement: <sup>3</sup> "My mother thinks that I cannot do anything for myself" and "My mother doesn't

understand me very well." Within our sample, the internal consistency of the acceptance subscale was good ( $\alpha = .84$ ) in Father-Child Version and .85 for acceptance sub-scale Mother-Child Version. The sub-scale conflict -resolution also shows a good range of  $\alpha$  coefficients of .85 for Father-Child Version to .87 Mother-Child Version.

<sup>2</sup> *Well-being.* The Mental Health Continuum-Short Form (MHC-SF) measures positive mental health consisting of a brief measurement of the emotional well-being, <sup>3</sup> psychological well-being, and social well-being in 14 items. The MHC-SF assesses <sup>18</sup> the symptoms of positive affection, self-development, and social connectivity.

Responses to the MHC-SF scale are on six Likert-type, <sup>1</sup> ranging from "Never" (1) to "Every Day" (6). The MHC-SF  $\alpha$  coefficient of Father-Child Version was .76 <sup>7</sup> for emotional well-being, .79 for psychological well-being, and .80 for social well-being. The MHC-SF for Mother-Child Version also showed good  $\alpha$  coefficients of .74 <sup>7</sup> for emotional well-being, .76 for psychological well-being, and .77 for social well-being.

## Results

A descriptive analysis of each variable from each role is <sup>1</sup> summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2**  
*Descriptive Statistics of Variables Based on the Roles in the Family*

| Variable                          | Role   | Mean  | SD    | n   |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-----|
| Relationship Quality              | Child  | 3.185 | .499  | 230 |
|                                   | Mother | 3.215 | 2.088 | 230 |
|                                   | Father | 3.572 | .341  | 230 |
| <sup>4</sup> Emotional Well-Being | Child  | 4.761 | .864  | 230 |
|                                   | Mother | 4.818 | .868  | 230 |
|                                   | Father | 5.089 | .871  | 230 |
| Psychological Well-Being          | Child  | 4.714 | .813  | 230 |
|                                   | Mother | 4.851 | .810  | 230 |
|                                   | Father | 5.006 | .845  | 230 |
| Social Well-Being                 | Child  | 3.940 | .979  | 230 |
|                                   | Mother | 3.925 | .960  | 230 |
|                                   | Father | 4.070 | 1.091 | 230 |

From Table 2, one can observe that the fathers show greater scores in all types of well-being and relationship quality than the equivalent scores of the mothers and the children.

*Test of distinguishability*

Following research objectives and research designs, after data was collected, the next step was to restructure data into dyadic data for indistinguishability testing and data restructuring into pairwise data for multi-level modeling analysis. Data restructuring was using a web-based program available from <http://davidakenny.net/RDDD.htm> while the indistinguishable test was using [https://apimsem.ugent.be/shiny/apim\\_sem/](https://apimsem.ugent.be/shiny/apim_sem/). Table 2 presents the distinguishability test of the dependent variables with mixed variable relationship quality using maximum likelihood estimates. The result showed that all of the dyadic relations should be treated as distinguishable ( $p < .05$ ) except in the relationship between the relationship quality and emotional well-being within the dyadic mother-child.

**Table 2**  
*Distinguishable Test of the Variables within Dyadic Relationship*

| Dyadic                   | df    | p-value | Dyadic conclusion |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|-------------------|
| <b>Mother-child</b>      |       |         |                   |
| Emotional well-Being     | 2.315 | .889    | Indistinguishable |
| Psychological well-being | 6     | <.001   | Distinguishable   |
| Social well-being        | 6     | <.001   | Distinguishable   |
| <b>Father-child</b>      |       |         |                   |
| Emotional well-Being     | 6     | <.001   | Distinguishable   |
| Psychological well-being | 6     | <.001   | Distinguishable   |
| Social well-being        | 6     | <.001   | Distinguishable   |

*Relationship quality and well-being (mother-child dyadic)*

There are a total of 230 dyads and 460 individuals without missing data. From table 3, it is concluded that no actor effect found in the children's <sup>23</sup> relationship quality and

well-being. In other words, the results show that the children's emotional well-being, social well-being, and psychological well-being are not getting higher when they report more positive relationship quality with their mothers. The actor effect for relationship quality on the emotional well-being equals .235 and is statistically not significant ( $p = .088$ ). The actor effect of relationship quality on the psychological well-being equals .228 which is statistically not significant ( $p = .077$ ). The last actor effect of relationship quality on social well-being equals 0.155 and is statistically not significant ( $p = .321$ ).

There is no children's partner's effect found in the relationship between relationship quality and all forms of well-being. This finding means that mothers do not experience higher or lower all forms of well-being when their children experience relationship quality dynamics.

On the other hand, the mothers report higher emotional and psychological well-being, except social well-being, when they report more positive relationship quality with their children (*actor effect*). Within mother-child dyadic, there is no partner's effect found.

**Table 3**  
*The APIM testing using Structural Equation Modelling (Child-Mother Dyad)*

| Role     | Model   | Effect    | Estimate | Beta (o) | Beta (s) | <i>p</i> |
|----------|---|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Children | Relationship quality<br>-emotional well-being     | (Actor)   | .235     | .063     | 0.136    | .088     |
|          |   | (Partner) | .024     | .014     | .014     | .863     |
| Mother   |   | (Actor)   | .286     | .167     | .160     | .042     |
|          |   | (Partner) | .089     | .052     | .051     | .518     |
| Children | Relationship quality-<br>psychological well-being | (Actor)   | .228     | .062     | .14      | .077     |
|          |   | (Partner) | .043     | .027     | .026     | .743     |
| Mother   |   | (Actor)   | .269     | .181     | .177     | .024     |
|          |   | (Partner) | .049     | .030     | .030     | .701     |

|          |  |           |       |       |       |      |
|----------|--|-----------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Children | Relationship quality-social well-being | (Actor)   | .155  | .025  | .079  | .321 |
|          |  | (Partner) | -.268 | -.137 | -.133 | .095 |
| Mother   |  | (Actor)   | .229  | .117  | .117  | .141 |
|          |  | (Partner) | -.125 | -.064 | -.066 | .409 |

*Relationship quality and well-being (mother-child dyadic)*

Table 4 provides output analysis within father-children dyads. As presented in table

21 4, the children actor's effects of relationship quality upon their well-being are significant. These effects explain that when the children experience positive relationship quality with their fathers, their 24 emotional, psychological, and social well-being are getting higher. The same actor effects are also found in the fathers' experience with their children. The children actor effect

From the analyses, we do not find any partner's effect on both the children and the father. The findings revealed that relationship quality experienced by fathers does not affect the children's well-being. The same route also exists in the relationship quality experienced by the children, which does not impact the fathers' well-being.

**Table 4**

*The APIM Testing Using Structural Equation Modelling (Child-Father Dyad)*

| Role     | Model  | Effect    | Estimate | Beta (o) | Beta (s) | p-value |
|----------|--|-----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| Children | Relationship quality -emotional well-being     | (Actor)   | .502     | .239     | 0.270    | <.001   |
|          |  | (Partner) | -.005    | -.003    | -.002    | .974    |
| Father   |  | (Actor)   | .77      | .128     | .302     | <.001   |
|          |  | (Partner) | -.008    | -.004    | -.004    | .945    |
| Children | Relationship quality- psychological well-being | (Actor)   | .352     | .171     | .203     | .002    |
|          |  | (Partner) | .102     | .049     | .042     | .743    |
| Father   |  | (Actor)   | .914     | .176     | .369     | <.001   |
|          |  | (Partner) | -.157    | -.076    | -.088    | .149    |
| Children | Relationship quality-social well-being         | (Actor)   | .302     | .119     | .147     | .023    |
|          |  | (Partner) | .267     | .105     | .094     | .149    |

|        |           |      |      |      |       |
|--------|-----------|------|------|------|-------|
| Father | (Actor)   | .685 | .091 | .22  | <.001 |
|        | (Partner) | .016 | .006 | .007 | .911  |

## Discussion

The result shows that, from the children's side, there are no partner's effects as well as actor's effects on the relationship <sup>1</sup> between parent-child relationships and all forms of well-being in the mother-child dyad. On the other hand, mothers experience actor's effect on their emotional well-being and psychological well-being, but not the partner's effect.

Within the father-child dyad, both of the fathers and the children report the actor's effects. This finding shows the different actor's effects between <sup>33</sup> mother-child dyads and father-child dyads. There is no partner's effect detected within father-child dyads.

Erel and Burman's 1995 study (as cited in Li, Jiang, Fan & Zhang, 2018) explained <sup>1</sup> the role of the family as the basis of the social environment for individual growth, during marital relationships as the family relations basis. In the context of family development, an enduring bond between caregiver and children reflected in the parent-child relationship (Cox and Paley, 2003).

### *Mother-child dyadic*

The result of this study showed that within the mother-child dyadic, the partner effect does not influence the well-being of the actor. This indicates <sup>8</sup> that the relationship between the mother and child is indistinguishable. When the mother perceives a good quality relationship with her child, this does not affect the <sup>8</sup> child's well-being in all forms. Only the child's perception of good relationship quality with his/her mother

affect his/her own well-being. On the other hand, the mother's well-being is also influenced by her relationship quality perception with her child, not by her child's relationship quality perception with her.

Jouriles, Barling, and O'Leary (as cited in *Mark and Pike, 2017*) stated that marital disputes which were externalized <sup>2</sup> might be reproduced within the mother-child dyad, which later might affect the well-being of the mother and the child. Pappa (2013) extended the idea that the mother, whose low relationship quality with her couple tended to have a problem in her parenting practices, relationship with her child, and child's well-being. This may be a potential explanation of why <sup>28</sup> the dyadic relationship between the mother and child is indistinguishable in this study.

Collins and Russel (1991) explained that mothers typically had got <sup>25</sup> higher levels of involvement with their children than fathers had. This condition opened the possibility of being involved in conflictual relations. A study by Laursen (1995) indicated that conflict between adolescence and their mother occurred more frequently than conflict with peers, fathers, siblings, and other older adults. This may be the reason why the children in the study do not experience the association between their perception of relationship quality with their mothers and their well-being.

In this study, female children dominate with 70 % of all samples. This characteristic may influence the result, as <sup>9</sup> Hill and Holmbeck (1987) explained that disagreements concerning house-rule <sup>2</sup> were more frequent between mothers and their postmenarcheal girls. This frequent conflict between the female adolescent and her mother may trigger the daughters to neglect the relationship quality with their mother temporarily.

Collins and Laursen (as cited in Branje, 2008) found that the youngster's tendencies to gain autonomy had decreased the intimacy in the relationship with the parents. This transition period, mixed with increasing house-rule conflict between the mother and her child, may lead the feeling of "disregard" toward each other. This situation may be the explanation of why the partner effect does not affect the well-being of the mother and the child.

#### *Father-child dyadic*

O'Brien and Shemilt (2003) stated that the trend suggested the participation of men <sup>35</sup> in their children's lives. In many cases, the role of fathers has been subject to less change, in that traditional activities fathers showed with their children were still in the area of play together, companionship as well as activities outdoor with their child.

### **Practical implication/Implication for Future Studies**

A unique result of this study is that within a dyadic mother-child relationship, no actor's effect and partner effect are found on each well-being. It may suggest that the existence and role of the mother, as well as the child's role in the family, are not considered important by both the mother and the child. This condition, however, is balanced by the father's relationship quality perception with his child, which influences his own well-being, even though the child's relationship quality itself does not affect his/her own <sup>10</sup> well-being. These findings may suggest the importance of fatherhood during puberty or menarcheal to balance the absence of the mother's quality relationship effect toward a child in the family. Due to rarities in the dyadic study of relationship quality and family members' well-being, the opportunity to discover possible output is still big open. Constructing the same variables in a

different social system like patriarchy and matriarchy is probably will give more explanation regarding the actor and partner effect within the dyadic analysis in the family.

## Competing <sup>2</sup>Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Funding

The author(s) received financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article by Higher Education Basic Research Grants from the Ministry of Technology Research and Higher Education Republic of Indonesia.

## References

A happy city. (2015, February 7). Retrieved from

<http://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2015/02/07/a-happy-city.html>

Arnett, J. J. (1999). Adolescent storm and stress, reconsidered. *American*

*Psychologist*, 54, 317-326. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.54.5.317>

Biller, H. B., & Lopez, Kimpton, J. (1997). The father and the school-aged child. In M.

E. Lamb (Ed.), *The role of the father in child development* (pp. 143-161).

Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Buchanan, C. M. (2003). Mother's generalized beliefs about adolescents: Links to

Expectations for a specific child. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 23, 29-50.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431602239129>

- Buchanan, C. M., & Holmbeck, G. N. (1998). Measuring beliefs about adolescent personality and behavior. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 27, 607-627.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022835107795>
- Collins, W. A., & Russell, G. (1991). Mother-child and father-child relationships in middle childhood and adolescence: A developmental analysis. *Developmental Review*, 11, 99-136. [https://doi:10.1016/0273-2297\(91\)90004-8](https://doi:10.1016/0273-2297(91)90004-8)
- Collins, W.A. & Laursen, B. (2004). Parent-adolescent relationships and influences. In R.M. Lerner & L. Steinberg. (Eds), *Handbook of adolescent psychology*, (2nd ed). NJ, Hoboken: Wiley.
- Cook, W. L., & Kenny, D. A. (2005). The actor-partner independence model: A model of bidirectional effects in developmental studies. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 29,101–109.  
<https://doi:10.1080/01650250444000405>
- Cox M.J. & Paley, B. (2003) Understanding families as systems. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 12(5): 193–196. <https://doi:10.1111/1467-8721.01259>
- Diener, M. L., & Diener McGavran, M. B. (2008). What makes people happy? A developmental approach to the literature on family relationships and well-being. In M. Eid & R. J. Larsen (Eds.), *The science of subjective well-being* (pp. 347–375). New York: Guilford.
- Goodnow, J. J., & Collins, W. A. (1990). *Development according to parents: The nature, sources, and consequences of parents' ideas*. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Harris, J.R. (1998). *The nurture assumption: Why children turn out the way they do*.

New York: Free Press.

Hewlett, B. S. (1992). *Father-child relations: Cultural and biosocial contexts*.

Hawthorne, NY: Aldine de Gruyter.

Hill, J. P., & Holmbeck, G. N. (1987). Disagreements about rules in families with seventh-grade girls and boys. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 16, 221-246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02139092>

Holmes, E.K., & Huston, A.C. (2010). Understanding positive father-child interaction: Children's, fathers', and mothers' contributions. *Fathering*, 8, 203-225. <https://doi.org/10.3149/fth.1802.203>

Indonesians 'quite happy', according to BPS happiness index. (2017, August 16). Retrieved from <http://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2017/08/16/indonesians-quite-happy-according-to-bps-happiness-index.html>

Indonesian youths among happiest in the world: Survey. (2017, February 13). Retrieved from <http://www.thejakartapost.com/youth/2017/02/13/indonesian-youths-among-happiest-in-the-world-survey.html>

Keyes, C. L. M. (1998). Social well-being. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 61, 121-140. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2787065>

Keyes C. L. M. (2005). Mental illness and/or mental health? Investigating axioms of the complete state model of health. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 73, 539-548. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.73.3.539>

Lange, A., Evers, A., Jansen, H., & Dolan, C. (2002). PACHIQ-R: The parent-child interaction questionnaire-revised. *Family Processes*, 41, 709-722.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1545-5300.2002.00709.x>

- Larson, R. W., Richards, M. H., Moneta, G., Holmbeck, G., & Duckett, E. (1996). Changes in adolescents' daily interactions with their families from ages 10 to 18: Disengagement and transformation. *Developmental Psychology, 32*, 744-754. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.32.4.744>
- Laursen, B. (1995). Conflict and social interaction in adolescent relationships. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 5*, 55-70. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327795jra0501\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327795jra0501_3)
- Ma, C. Q., & Huebner, E. S. (2008). Attachment relationships and adolescents' life satisfaction: Some relationships matter more to girls than boys. *Psychology in the Schools, 45*, 177–190. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.20288>
- Mark, K. M., & Pike, A. (2017). Links between marital quality, the mother–child relationship and child behavior. *International Journal of Behavioral Development, 41*(2), 285–294. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025416635281>
- O'Brien, M. I. & Shemilt. (2003). *Working fathers: Earning and caring*. London: Equal Opportunities Commission.
- O'Connor, T. G. (2002). Annotation: The 'effects' of parenting reconsidered: Findings, challenges, and applications. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 43*, 555–572. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1469-7610.00046>
- Pappa, V. S. (2013). Relationships between parents' marital status and the psychological well-being of adolescents in Greece. *Journal of Psychology and Psychotherapy, 3*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2161-0487.1000110>
- Parke, R. D. (1995). Fathers and families. In M. H. Bornstein (Ed.), *Handbook of*

- parenting, Status and social conditions of parenting* (Vol. 3, pp. 27–63). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Perugini, M.L.L., de la Iglesia, G., Solano, A.C., & Keyes, C.L.M. (2017). The mental health continuum–short form (MHC–SF) in the Argentinean context: Confirmatory factor analysis and measurement invariance. *Europe's journal of psychology*, 13(1), 93-108. <https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.v13i1.1163>
- Ryff, C. D., Lee, Y. H., Essex, M. J., & Schmutte, P. S. (1994). My children and me: Midlife evaluations of grown children and of self. *Psychology and Aging*, 9, 195-205. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.9.2.195>
- Saha, R., Huebner, E. S., Suldo, S. M., & Valois, R. F. (2010). A longitudinal study of adolescent life satisfaction and parenting. *Child Indicators Research*, 3, 149–165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12187-009-9050-x>
- Schaffer, H. R., & Emerson, P. E. (1964). The development of social attachments in infancy. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 29 (3, Whole No. 94), 1–71). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1165727>
- Schwarz, B., Mayer, B., Trommsdorff, G., Ben-Arieh, A., Friedlmeier, M., Lubiewska, K., Mishra, R., & Peltzer, K. (2012). Does the importance of parent and peer relationships for adolescents' life satisfaction vary across cultures? *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 32, 55–80. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0272431611419508>
- Shearer, C. L., Crouter, A. C., & McHale, S. M. (2005). Parents' perceptions of changes in mother-child and father-child relationships during adolescence. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 20(6), 662–684.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0743558405275086>

Steinberg, L. S., & Silk, J. J. (2002). Parenting adolescents. In M. Bornstein (Ed.), *Handbook of parenting* (2nd ed). pp. 103-133). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Suldo, S. M. (2009). Parent-child relationships. In R. Gilman, E. S. Huebner, & M. Furlong (Eds.), *Handbook of positive psychology in the schools* (pp. 245–256). New York: Routledge.

van Wel, F., Linszen, H. & Abma, R. (2000). The parental bond and the well-being of adolescents and young adults. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 29(3), 307-318. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005195624757>

# THE FAMILY WELL-BEING: A DYADIC ANALYSIS OF PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP QUALITY

---

ORIGINALITY REPORT

---

# 20%

SIMILARITY INDEX

---

## PRIMARY SOURCES

---

|   |   |                 |
|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | <a href="https://link.springer.com">link.springer.com</a><br>Internet                           | 245 words — 5%  |
| 2 | <a href="https://journals.sagepub.com">journals.sagepub.com</a><br>Internet                     | 139 words — 3%  |
| 3 | <a href="https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com">onlinelibrary.wiley.com</a><br>Internet               | 64 words — 1%   |
| 4 | <a href="http://www.utwente.nl">www.utwente.nl</a><br>Internet                                  | 44 words — 1%   |
| 5 | <a href="http://www.thejakartapost.com">www.thejakartapost.com</a><br>Internet                  | 39 words — 1%   |
| 6 | <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com">www.tandfonline.com</a><br>Internet                        | 33 words — 1%   |
| 7 | <a href="http://www.scirp.org">www.scirp.org</a><br>Internet                                    | 26 words — 1%   |
| 8 | <a href="https://es.scribd.com">es.scribd.com</a><br>Internet                                   | 25 words — 1%   |
| 9 | C. L. Shearer. "Parents' Perceptions of Changes in Mother-Child and Father-Child Relationships" | 20 words — < 1% |

---

10 mafiadoc.com 20 words — < 1%  
Internet

---

11 Jennifer A. Shadik. "Fathering and child maltreatment: understanding the impact of fluidity in fathers' lives", Journal of Family Social Work, 2020 19 words — < 1%  
Crossref

---

12 R. Roswiyani, Chok H. Hiew, Cilia L. M. Witteman, Monty P. Satiadarma, Jan Spijker. "Art activities and qigong exercise for the well-being of older adults in nursing homes in Indonesia: a randomized controlled trial", Aging & Mental Health, 2019 18 words — < 1%  
Crossref

---

13 discovery.ucl.ac.uk 17 words — < 1%  
Internet

---

14 Andrew J. Howell. "Flourishing: Achievement-related correlates of students' well-being", The Journal of Positive Psychology, 2009 13 words — < 1%  
Crossref

---

15 sajip.co.za 13 words — < 1%  
Internet

---

16 scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu 13 words — < 1%  
Internet

---

17 documents.mx 11 words — < 1%  
Internet

---

18 Wagner de Lara Machado, Denise Ruschel Bandeira. "Positive Mental Health Scale: 10 words — < 1%

# Validation of the *Mental Health Continuum - Short Form*", Psico-USF, 2015

Crossref

- 
- 19 [ar.scribd.com](http://ar.scribd.com)  
Internet 10 words — < 1%
- 
- 20 [www.cdc.gov](http://www.cdc.gov)  
Internet 10 words — < 1%
- 
- 21 Isla Rippon, Catherine Quinn, Anthony Martyr, Robin Morris, Sharon M. Nelis, Ian Rees Jones, Christina R. Victor, Linda Clare. "The impact of relationship quality on life satisfaction and well-being in dementia caregiving dyads: findings from the IDEAL study", *Aging & Mental Health*, 2019  
Crossref 9 words — < 1%
- 
- 22 [dspace.nwu.ac.za](http://dspace.nwu.ac.za)  
Internet 9 words — < 1%
- 
- 23 Kristin Gustavson, Espen Røysamb, Ingrid Borren, Fartein Ask Torvik, Evalill Karevold. "Life Satisfaction in Close Relationships: Findings from a Longitudinal Study", *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 2015  
Crossref 8 words — < 1%
- 
- 24 [ejop.psychopen.eu](http://ejop.psychopen.eu)  
Internet 8 words — < 1%
- 
- 25 [epdf.pub](http://epdf.pub)  
Internet 8 words — < 1%
- 
- 26 [epdf.tips](http://epdf.tips)  
Internet 8 words — < 1%
- 
- 27 [srcd.onlinelibrary.wiley.com](http://srcd.onlinelibrary.wiley.com)  
Internet

8 words — < 1%

28 [www.researchgate.net](http://www.researchgate.net)  
Internet

8 words — < 1%

29 "Handbook of Child Well-Being", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014  
Crossref

7 words — < 1%

30 Christopher A. Kearney, Mirae J. Fornander. "Chapter 236 School Refusal Behavior and Absenteeism", Springer Nature, 2018  
Crossref

7 words — < 1%

31 Gwendolyn L. Gerber. "Psychological distance in the family as schematized by families of normal, disturbed, and learning-problem children.", Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 1973  
Crossref

7 words — < 1%

32 Research Applications and Interventions for Children and Adolescents, 2013.  
Crossref

7 words — < 1%

33 Russell, A.. "Mother-Son, Mother-Daughter, Father-Son, and Father-Daughter: Are They Distinct Relationships?", Developmental Review, 199706  
Crossref

7 words — < 1%

34 "Handbook of Parenting and Child Development Across the Lifespan", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018  
Crossref

6 words — < 1%

35 Tess Kay. "Where's Dad? Fatherhood in Leisure Studies", Leisure Studies, 2006  
Crossref

6 words — < 1%

---

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON