

## Fwd: [PSYCT] Editor Decision

Fransisca r.d <fransiscar@fpsi.untar.ac.id>
To: CHRESTELLA PATRICIA PATRICIA 80000143 <chrestellap@staff.untar.ac.id>

Wed, Jul 19, 2023 at 11:06 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Yohanes <yohanesb@fpsi.untar.ac.id> Date: Wed, Jul 19, 2023 at 10:42 AM Subject: Fwd: [PSYCT] Editor Decision To: Fransisca r.d <fransiscar@fpsi.untar.ac.id>

-----Forwarded message -----

From: **Stanislava Stoyanova** <avka@abv.bg> Date: Wed, Nov 13, 2019 at 8:05 AM

Subject: [PSYCT] Editor Decision

To: Mr. Yohanes Budiarto <yohanesb@fpsi.untar.ac.id>

Mr. Yohanes Budiarto:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Psychological Thought.

"The Family Well-Being: A Dyadic Analysis of Parent-Child Quality Relationship".

Revise for Review:

The submission needs to be re-worked, but with significant changes, may be accepted. It will require a second round of review, however.

Stanislava Stoyanova Editor of Psychological Thought avka@abv.bg

How to revise your article:

- 1) Log in at http://psyct.psychopen.eu/login/
- 2) Go to your "Active" submissions
- 3) Choose the submission you want to revise. This will bring you to your submission's Summary page. At the Summary page you will see links to "Summary", "Review", and "Editing" pages. Each of these pages will provide details about your submission.
- 4) Go to the "Review" page. Last on this page is the "Editor Decision" section. From this section you can view the reviewer comments (click on the cloud icon), and upload your revised submission file.
- 5) Important: Once you have submitted your revised submission file notify the editor by clicking on the "Notify Editor" icon and sending the prepared email.

Reviewer A:

Title of the article:

The family well-being: A dyadic analysis for parent-child relationship quality

Appropriativeness of this paper for the journal (from 1 - unappropriate to 10 - most appropriate)

6

The article title is appropriate:

There is need to recast the title

The abstract accurately reflects the content:

Fair

The literature review is explained clearly:

Fair

The research study methods are appropriate:

Yes

All figures, tables, and photos are necessary and appropriate:
Yes

The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content:

Fairly

All references are quoted or mentioned in the text:

Originality (from 1 - non-original to 10 - most original)

Technical strength (from 1 to 10):

ını

6

Comments (text):
See the attached file :
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
:     ( ) 2. Publish, but suggest changes to the article as specified in this review. Revisions Required.
Reviewer B:
Title of the article: The Family Well-Being: An Dyadic Analysis of Parent-Child Quality Relationship
Appropriativeness of this paper for the journal (from 1 - unappropriate to 10 - most appropriate)
: 10
The article title is appropriate: 10
The abstract accurately reflects the content: 10
The literature review is explained clearly: 10
The research study methods are appropriate: 10
All figures, tables, and photos are necessary and appropriate:
The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content: 10
All references are quoted or mentioned in the text: 10
Originality (from 1 - non-original to 10 - most original)
: 10
Technical strength (from 1 to 10): 10
Comments (text):
: Is a very good research
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
: ( ) 1. Publish, no significant alterations suggested. Accept Submission.
Psychological Thought http://psyct.psychopen.eu

