

Peer Review Report

Notes

Please return the completed report by email within 21 days;

About HRPUB

Horizon Research Publishing, USA (HRPUB) is a worldwide open access publisher serving the academic research and scientific communities by launching peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. As an international academic organization for researchers & scientists, we aim to provide researchers, writers, academic professors and students the most advanced research achievements in a broad range of areas, and to facilitate the academic exchange between them.

Manuscript Information

Manuscript ID: 14826490

Manuscript Title: **Development of Interisland Freshwater Supply System with Micro Hydropower System**

Evaluation Report

GeneralComments	<p>What does third line in abstract section tends to say, it should be made proper. Write down full form of IPA, HDPE in paragraph 1, section 2, and page 2. Figure 2 is not properly visible, reconstruct it. Section 3 write fresh summation and water need symbol. Write down parts shown in Figure 3. In section 4 formula shown for power generation is very poor, reframe it. In section 4 specifically which type of micro hydro power generation system can be used in present case, this should be added in the paper.</p>
Advantage&Disadvantage	<p>Advantage: Paper covers water supply along with power generation development of 12.5 kW Socio economic issue is raised through paper Good numbers of review papers are given.</p> <p>Disadvantage Visibility of figures is very poor. Font's sizes are required to be thoroughly checked. All review should be indicated in introduction section only. Elaborate section 5 more, currently it is very short Conclusion is too short in respect to the Socio economic issue, add some conclusion.</p>
How to improve	<p>Indicate full form of all Abbreviations used. Construct raw figure with clear visibility. Check font size throughout the paper as per journal template.</p>
Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = Poor)	
Originality:	1

Contribution to the Field:	2
Technical Quality:	3
Clarity of Presentation :	3
Depth of Research:	3
Recommendation	
Kindly mark with a ■	
<input type="checkbox"/> Accept As It Is	
<input type="checkbox"/> Requires Minor Revision	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Requires Major Revision	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	

Return Date: