

Peer Review Report

Notes

Please return the completed report by email within 21 days;

About HRPUB

Horizon Research Publishing, USA (HRPUB) is a worldwide open access publisher serving the academic research and scientific communities by launching peer-reviewed journals covering a wide range of academic disciplines. As an international academic organization for researchers & scientists, we aim to provide researchers, writers, academic professors and students the most advanced research achievements in a broad range of areas, and to facilitate the academic exchange between them.

Manuscript Information

Manuscript ID: 14826574

Manuscript Title: **Inter-Island Freshwater Pipeline Installation Technology with TJ-19 Method**

Evaluation Report

General Comments	<p>A very original and interesting manuscript. The author's research is relevant in local inter-island conditions. Although theoretically the TJ-19 method can be used in other conditions.</p> <p>I would like to draw attention to the following. The manuscript notes: "However, it is also necessary to pay attention to the stability of the pipeline on the seabed (on bottom stability), the influence of pressure and seabed conditions that will affect the stability of the pipeline [17]". For completeness of the study in the manuscript, it would be advisable to consider this issue in more detail.</p>
------------------	---

Advantage & Disadvantage	<p>Since this study is devoted of inter-island freshwater pipeline installation technology with TJ-19 method, it would be advisable to pay special attention to safety issues for workers during work.</p>
--------------------------	---

How to improve	<p>The manuscript notes: "There are several wave theories. Airy, Stokes, Stream and Curent. From the survey conducted in the Limbo strait, it is assumed that the wave conditions follow the Airy wave theory [14-16]". It would be necessary to concretize the initial statement, to consider it in more detail. Why is this so?</p>
----------------	--

Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = Poor)

Originality:	
--------------	--

Contribution to the Field:	
----------------------------	--

Technical Quality:	
--------------------	--

Clarity of Presentation :	
---------------------------	--

Depth of Research:	
--------------------	--

Recommendation

Kindly mark with a ■	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Accept As It Is
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Requires Minor Revision
<input type="checkbox"/>	Requires Major Revision
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reject

Return Date: