

My Submissions	Conference	<b>News</b>	EasyChair
----------------	------------	-------------	-----------


## ICCIM 2019 Submission 85

Submission information updates are disabled.

For all questions related to processing your submission you should contact the conference organizers.

[Click here to see information about this conference.](#)

All **reviews sent to you** can be found at the bottom of this page.

Submission 85	
Title	STUDY OF SEDIMENT TRANSPORT DISCHARGES OF CITARUM RIVER, WEST JAVA IN LABORATORY
Paper:	 (Jun 26, 07:28 GMT)
Author keywords	bedload sediment transport Citarum River discharge
EasyChair keyphrases	citarum river (140), bed load sediment (110), load sediment transport (110), sediment transport (90), bed load (86), bed load transport (47), sediment transport discharge (47), qb bed load (47), west java (40), civil engineering universita tarumanagara (40)
Abstract	Citarum River is one of the longest and largest rivers in Indonesia located in West Java with a length of 300 km. The Citarum River carries the sediment in the water stream from the erosion. This study focused on bed load sediment transport. The study of sediment transport is still a few, but some experts have proposed the formula to calculate the amount of sediment transport such as Einstein, Shields, and Schoklitsch. A research in Hydraulics Laboratory and Soil Mechanics Laboratory is conducted to examine the bed load transport from the Citarum River. The purpose of this study is to analyze and compare the result of discharge obtained from the laboratory with the Einstein, Shields, and Schoklitsch approach
Submitted	Jun 26, 07:28 GMT
Last update	Jun 26, 07:28 GMT

Authors						
first name	last name	email	country	affiliation	Web page	corresponding?
Wati A.	Pranoto	watip@ft.untar.ac.id	Indonesia	Universitas Tarumanagara		✓
Marvin	Subari	marvinsubari21@gmail.com	Indonesia	Universitas Tarumanagara		✓

## Reviews

<b>Review 1</b>	
<i>Novelty and originality</i>	<b>0:</b> (Poor)
<i>Relevance to the conference</i>	<b>2:</b> (Excellent)
<i>Comprehensibility, writing organization, and logical flow</i>	<b>1:</b> (Satisfactory)
<i>Accuracy and clarity of the written English language</i>	<b>1:</b> (Satisfactory)
<i>Adherence to the specified writing format</i>	<b>1:</b> (Satisfactory)
<i>Coherence and reflectiveness of the abstract in regard to the entire paper</i>	<b>1:</b> (Satisfactory)
<i>Clarity, relevance, and importance of the purpose of the study</i>	<b>0:</b> (Poor) The purpose of the study is non-existent. Please add it.
<i>Scientific soundness of the methodology</i>	<b>1:</b> (Satisfactory)
<i>Rationale and ingenuity of the analysis</i>	<b>0:</b> (Poor) Figure 5 is too hard to understand. Improve the presentation and elaborate it with texts. Put the result of Figure 5 into the table as well.
<i>Coherence of the conclusion</i>	<b>0:</b> (Poor) Conclusion is an integral part of academic paper and it must exist
<i>Reliability and relevance of the references</i>	<b>0:</b> (Poor) References style and citations style are totally wrong. Many listed references are not used in articles.
<i>Reviewer's remarks</i>	Table format must comply to the IOP format
<i>Verdict</i>	<b>3:</b> (Accepted with minor revision (reviewer does not have to check the manuscript again))