



ICCIM

THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
OF CONSTRUCTION, INFRASTRUCTURE, AND MATERIALS
(Virtual Conference, 26 July 2021)

ICCIM 2021 REVIEW FORM

Paper ID	50
Paper Title	Nonlinear Effect of Fluid–Structure Interaction Modeling in the Rock-Fill Dam Jatiluhur

1. **Novelty and originality.** Does the paper add something new or make a breakthrough in his field of study?

Poor Satisfactory Excellent

Remarks:
Good for Dam Jatiluhur

2. **Relevance to the conference.** Is the topic of the paper aligned with the tracks of the conference?

Poor Satisfactory Excellent

Remarks:
ok

3. **Comprehensibility, writing organization, and logical flow.** Does the structure of the paper allow readers to understand the content easily?

Poor Satisfactory Excellent

Remarks:
ok



ICCIM

THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE OF CONSTRUCTION, INFRASTRUCTURE, AND MATERIALS (Virtual Conference, 26 July 2021)

4. **Accuracy and clarity of the written English language.** Does the author display good English proficiency (e.g. correct grammar, correct wording nuances etc) as well as conveying his/her idea clearly?

Poor

Satisfactory

Excellent

Remarks:
good

5. **Adherence to the specified writing format.** Does the submitted paper follow the provided writing format?

Poor

Satisfactory

Excellent

Remarks:
It's ok

6. **Coherence and reflectiveness of the abstract in regard to the entire paper.** Does the abstract manage to sum up the entire paper?

Poor

Satisfactory

Excellent

Remarks:
Very good



UNTAR
Universitas Tarumanagara



MASSEY
UNIVERSITY
OF NEW ZEALAND



UTHM
Universiti Teknikal
Malaysia Melaka



UNIVERSITAS
INDONESIA



fib
Fakultas Ilmu Budaya



UNIVERSITAS
SEBELAS MARET

Unika
SOEGIJAPRANATA



UNIVERSITAS
SEBELAS MARET

UNS
UNIVERSITAS
SEBELAS MARET



UNIVERSITAS
SEBELAS MARET



**THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
OF CONSTRUCTION, INFRASTRUCTURE, AND MATERIALS**
(Virtual Conference, 26 July 2021)

7. **Clarity, relevance, and importance of the purpose of the study.** Does the paper state its objective clearly? Does it connect to the people's needs? Does it provide something significant for people and its field of study?

Poor

Satisfactory

Excellent

Remarks:
ok

8. **Scientific soundness of the methodology.** Does the author employ logical and reliable technique or procedure to achieve his/her study's objective?

Poor

Satisfactory

Excellent

Remarks:
It's good

9. **Rationale and ingenuity of the analysis.** Does the author employ logical and intelligent reasoning in dissecting his/her subject of study?

Poor

Satisfactory

Excellent

Remarks:
ok



ICCIM

THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
OF CONSTRUCTION, INFRASTRUCTURE, AND MATERIALS
(Virtual Conference, 26 July 2021)

10. **Coherence of the conclusion.** Does the conclusion manage to synthesize the study's objective, methodology, and analysis consistently?

Poor

Satisfactory

Excellent

Remarks:
Coherence ok

11. **Reliability and relevance of the references.** Does the author use academically reliable and related source to support his/paper?

Poor

Satisfactory

Excellent

Reviewer's Remarks. State your feedback, criticism, observation or any other comments to the author

Good for Dam Jatiluhur, go on to the other research for Dam Jatiluhur

Reviewer's Confidential Remarks to Organizing Committee. State your feedback, criticism, observation or any other comments discreetly to the Scientific Committee unbeknownst to the author

-



UNTAR
Universitas Tarumanagara



MASSEY
UNIVERSITY
OF NEW ZEALAND



UTHM
Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka



fib
Forum Indonesia Berkeadilan



Unika
SOEGIJAPRANATA



UNS
UNIVERSITAS
SEBELAS MARET





ICCIM

THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
OF CONSTRUCTION, INFRASTRUCTURE, AND MATERIALS
(Virtual Conference, 26 July 2021)

Verdict

- Accepted
- Accepted with minor revision (reviewer does not have to check the manuscript again)
- Major revision (manuscript must undergo another assessment by reviewer)
- Rejected