



basuki anondho &lt;basukia@ft.untar.ac.id&gt;

## 214024425 (International Journal of Construction Management) A revise decision has been made on your submission

4 messages

International Journal of Construction Management &lt;em@editorialmanager.com&gt;

Tue, May 11, 2021 at 7:06 PM

Reply-To: International Journal of Construction Management &lt;v.tam@westernsydney.edu.au&gt;

To: Basuki Anondho &lt;basukia@ft.untar.ac.id&gt;

CC: [rm.skitmore@qut.edu.au](mailto:rm.skitmore@qut.edu.au)

Ref.: Ms. No. TJCM-2021-0368

The Influence of External Factors on Construction Project Performance based on Estimated Duration in Jakarta  
International Journal of Construction Management

Dear Anondho,

Reviewers have now commented on your paper. You will see that they are advising that you revise your manuscript. If you are prepared to undertake the work required, I would be pleased to reconsider my decision.

For your guidance, reviewers' comments are appended below.

Your revision is due by Aug 07,  
2021.

To submit a revision, go to <https://rp.tandfonline.com/submission/flow?submissionId=214024425&step=1>. If you decide to revise the work, please submit a list of changes or a rebuttal against each point which is being raised when you submit the revised manuscript.

If you have any questions or technical issues, please contact the journal's editorial office at [SP-ingest@journals.tandf.co.uk](mailto:SP-ingest@journals.tandf.co.uk).

Yours sincerely

Martin Skitmore, PhD  
Editor  
International Journal of Construction Management

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer 1: The paper is well-organized and the structure is enough clear.

Abstract:

The abstract is adequate and complete

Introduction:

The explanation of the background, objectives, problems is quite clear, but it needs to be clearer about the relationship between Figure 1 and the discussion and what is the effect

Literature reviews:

1. The literature review is adequate, but the sub-discussion of technology factors does not analyze the reasons for the

need for technological factor indicators

2. The basic concept of Earned Schedule (ES) method is to determine the location of the actual development time (EV curve) against the plan that should have occurred (PV curve) by plotting the EV curve in actual time to the PV curve (Figure 2) --> should be figure 3.
3. It is necessary to explain the abbreviations used in Figure 3 (C, AT, etc.) so that the reader can understand Figure 3 and what it relates to the discussion.
4. Writing citations (The Project Management Book of Knowledge, 2017) should follow the standards --> (Institute, 2017); It's good to add Retrieved from [https://www.academia.edu/42926883/A\\_Guide\\_to\\_the\\_Project\\_Management\\_Body\\_of\\_Knowledge\\_PMBOK\\_Guide\\_Fifth\\_Edition](https://www.academia.edu/42926883/A_Guide_to_the_Project_Management_Body_of_Knowledge_PMBOK_Guide_Fifth_Edition) on references so that readers can easily find the source.

#### Methodology:

In table 1. Availability of measured indicator for the questionnaire:

1. It is necessary to explain the taking of the abbreviations E, S, and T and additional data that explains what is meant by E1-E19, S1-S20, T1-T13
2. The use of the Material Price indicator is not seen in the discussion of Economic Factor indicators
3. The use of the term "Work Experience" should be replaced by "Labor experience" following the discussion of Human Resource Factor indicators

#### Conclusion:

The conclusion is adequate and answering the research questions

#### References:

1. Several citations are not equipped with references, for example, Maqsoom et al. (2019), (Gong et al. 2011), (Sousa et al. 2014), etc.
2. Some references are not cited in the text, for example, Watts, A. (2014). Project Management. Victoria, B. C.: BCcampus. Retrieved from <https://open.textbc.ca/projectmanagement/>
3. Several citation writings are inconsistent with the writing standards used, for example:
  - a. Khoshgoftar, Bakar and Osman (2010) --> Khoshgoftar et al. (2010)
  - b. Hwang (2013) --> Hwang and Lim (2013);
  - c. El-Gohary (2014) --> El-Gohary et al. (2014)
  - d. (El-Gohary & Aziz, 2014) --> (El-Gohary et al., 2014)
  - e. (Chan, 2004) --> (Chan et al., 2004).
  - f. Etc.

The paper can be accepted with minor corrections and implementations as suggested above

Reviewer 2: There are some missing points on the explanation, such as how the inputted variable were used in the earned schedule methods.

Another important part is the contribution of this study to knowledge is not mentioned.

I have attached the detail comment

Reviewer 3: Please add comments you do not mind the author seeing.

Reviewer 4: While this research raised an interesting topic, it needs a lot of improvements. First of all, this study needs to provide a strong rationale showing the importance of the problem(s). Secondly, it needs to establish novelty. As this research was conducted in the Indonesian context, the authors are strongly advised to establish the novelty based on a case study and provide a comparison with findings from other countries. Thirdly, the methods adopted need clarification and justifications. Finally, research contribution should be clearly explained.

Here are some detailed comments that I hope could improve your manuscript:

1. Some statements need citations. For instance: "In Indonesia, the contribution of construction sector for the national development is around 6% which means still below the World Bank standard."
2. The main findings are arguable. The determination value is under the acceptable standard, indicating that external factors are not strongly influencing the duration. You need to describe and justify this matter rather than merely to accept these results.
3. Please use English words and terms. For instance: Singapore instead of "singapura".
4. Introduction section should be rewritten. Here, you need to clearly establish the rationale of your study rather than providing a lot of unnecessary information and review of literature.
5. The introduction section should contain your research question and/or objectives.

6. What do you mean by a "propose research"?
7. The methods need justification. What kind of meta analysis was conducted? How it was being conducted? Why did the authors choose the selected literature sources?
8. Table 1 needs clarification. While the terms "E", "S" and "T" are known, what does the number mean? E1, S3, T5 and so on?
9. Questionnaire development should be described. Did you conduct pilot studies as well? How to validate the questionnaire design?
10. Questionnaire distribution should be described including how long it was being distributed, how it was being distributed, how many respondents, how many valid responses, etc. Also provide justification of why only Jakarta?
11. Factor Analysis should also be described clearly. Why did the authors choose to conduct Factor Analysis? What type of rotated component matrix did the authors adopted? What are the results of Factor Analysis?
12. The identification of external factors could be argued. Some of these factors may not be "external" factors. Provide justifications.
13. Table 8 should be reformatted.
14. In the conclusion section, the authors should explain the study's contributions.

Reviewer 6: The topic of this paper is quite interesting, however this paper have quite serious problems in several parts.

The paper has a problem with quality of communication: the paper does not clearly express its case, does not used proper the technical language. The attention has to be paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc., therefore the author(s) are advised to review the paper to improve the English.

Several sentences are not written properly and confuses, for example:

1. The title: "The Influence of External Factors on Construction Project Performance based on Estimated Duration in Jakarta", what does the meaning of "..... based on Estimated Duration in Jakarta"?
  2. "Since the questionnaire has no directs correlation to duration estimation, the respondents are selected by officials who have sufficient experience and insight as the limitation", what does it means?
  3. "Furthermore, the questionnaire statement is compiled on a Likert scale to be distributed to respondents", what does it means?
- etc., etc., etc.

Some parts were not explained properly, for example

- \* Table 1 is quite difficult to be understood, what is E, S, T?
- \* Likert 1 - 5 scale needs to be explained in detail, what are 1, 2, 3 etc.

Literature review has not been written properly. Actually literature review is a piece of academic writing demonstrating knowledge and understanding of the academic literature on a specific topic placed in context and includes a critical evaluation of the material. Usually a literature review forms a section or part of a paper that can be assessed as a standalone piece of work. The theory of Earned Value should not be a part of literature review.

Research Methodology in this paper is quite confusing. I caught this paper analyzed the external factors based on general condition, and then the result was correlated to the schedule of certain projects. It seems that the data for external factors and the data for project schedule were collected from difference projects, aren't they? Whereas these two groups of data cannot be correlated. The correlation between the external factors with project schedule must be carried out based on a pair of related data, such as

Project / External Factor / Schedule Performance  
 A / XA / YA  
 B / XB / YB  
 C / XC / YC  
 and so on

The findings of this study have not been discussed properly. The conclusions in this paper are no more than just a summary. Actually, discussing the research findings comprehensively is an important part of the study in order to provide deeper understanding of the study.

Reviewer 8: Please add comments

This study attempts to find the relationship between external factors and the predicted duration of a construction project. This research problem statement does not explain the need for a relationship between external factors and the duration of

the project. If the problem is delays or looking for variations in duration, of course, based on similar projects. The discussion of literature studies also does not show what gaps have occurred in previous studies.

The research method contains many descriptions that are not equipped with further details, for example how to determine the 12 variables from previous studies. Then it is not clear why there was a reduction from 12 variables to 10 variables because factor analysis was not eliminating but reducing to latent factors.

The contribution of research is not discussed and it is not known the usefulness of this research in the practical world. mind the author seeing.

---


*In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.*

---

### 3 attachments

 **Review IJCM 2021-0368.docx**  
14K

 **IJCM project duration.pdf**  
400K

 **TJCM-2021-0368\_reviewer\_01.pdf**  
543K

---

**basuki anondho** <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>


Thu, May 20, 2021 at 11:51 AM

To: basuki anondho <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>, Catherine Michellie Widjaja <ketrmw@gmail.com>


[Quoted text hidden]

---

### 3 attachments

 **Review IJCM 2021-0368.docx**  
14K

 **IJCM project duration.pdf**  
400K

 **TJCM-2021-0368\_reviewer\_01.pdf**  
543K

---

**basuki anondho** <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>

Wed, Aug 4, 2021 at 8:42 PM

To: International Journal of Construction Management <v.tam@westernsydney.edu.au>, em@editorialmanager.com

Dear International Journal of Construction Management Editorial Team,

Thank you for your email to inform us of all comments from reviewers for our paper, we have seen that our paper does indeed need some revisions. We have already submitted the manuscript of our paper (with author details and anonym) in <https://rp.tandfonline.com/submission/flow?submissionId=214024425&step=1> and below we attached the revision in these documents:

1. Filename: Manuscript - for respond to comments reference.docx

This document is a manuscript with line numbers with a purpose for easy tracking related responses to our paper.

2. Filename: Respond to Comments - The Influence of External Factors on Construction Project Performance based on Estimated Duration in Jakarta.pdf

This document contains all responses to the review comments that have been shared via email.

3. A certificate of using proofreading service, as proof following the recommendation from reviewers 6. This certificate is attached in the last page of document number 2.

We hope that our response and revision can satisfy all of the reviewers. Thank you.

Regards,  
Basuki Anondho  
Corresponding Author  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

## 2 attachments

 **Manuscript - for respond to comments reference.docx**  
520K

 **Respond to Comments - The Influence of External Factors on Construction Project Performance based on Estimated Duration in Jakarta.pdf**  
281K

---

**Mail Delivery Subsystem** <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>  
To: basukia@ft.untar.ac.id

Wed, Aug 4, 2021 at 8:43 PM



## Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **em@editorialmanager.com** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

554 5.7.1 <em@editorialmanager.com>: Recipient address rejected: Sorry, we do not accept email going to this address.

Final-Recipient: rfc822; em@editorialmanager.com

Action: failed

Status: 5.7.1

Remote-MTA: dns; nsliam1.ariessys.net. (216.205.0.86, the server for the domain editorialmanager.com.)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 554 5.7.1 <em@editorialmanager.com>: Recipient address rejected: Sorry, we do not accept email going to this address.

Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 04 Aug 2021 06:43:05 -0700 (PDT)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: basuki anondho <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>

To: International Journal of Construction Management <v.tam@westernsydney.edu.au>, em@editorialmanager.com

Cc:

Bcc:

Date: Wed, 4 Aug 2021 21:42:49 +0800

Subject: Re: 214024425 (International Journal of Construction Management) A revise decision has been made on your

08/11/23, 12.15

Tarumanagara University Mail - 214024425 (International Journal of Construction Management) A revise decision has been made o...

submission

----- Message truncated -----

**basuki anondho** <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>

---

## Editorial Manager username and password

2 messages

---

**International Journal of Construction Management** <em@editorialmanager.com> Thu, Aug 5, 2021 at 10:27 AM  
Reply-To: International Journal of Construction Management <v.tam@westernsydney.edu.au>  
To: Basuki Anondho <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>

Aug 04, 2021

Dear Anondho,

Here is your username and confidential password, which you need to access the Editorial Manager at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/tjcm/>.

Username: BAnondho-999

your password can be set at this link: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/tjcm/l.asp?i=242688&l=GIX4PCD7>

Please save this information in a safe place.

You can change your password and other personal information by logging into the International Journal of Construction Management website and clicking on the Update My Information link on the menu.

Best regards,

International Journal of Construction Management

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/tjcm/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

---

**basuki anondho** <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>  
To: Catherine Michellie Widjaja <ketrnmw@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 5, 2021 at 10:31 AM

Username: basukianondho

Pass: Basuki.2016

[Quoted text hidden]



basuki anondho <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>

---

## Editorial Manager username and password

1 message

---

**International Journal of Construction Management** <em@editorialmanager.com> Thu, Aug 5, 2021 at 11:24 AM  
Reply-To: International Journal of Construction Management <v.tam@westernsydney.edu.au>  
To: Basuki Anondho <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>

Aug 05, 2021

Dear Anondho,

Here is your username and confidential password, which you need to access the Editorial Manager at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/tjcm/>.

Username: basukianondho  
your password can be set at this link: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/tjcm/l.asp?i=242689&l=GKYT7X6L>

Please save this information in a safe place.

You can change your password and other personal information by logging into the International Journal of Construction Management website and clicking on the Update My Information link on the menu.

Best regards,

International Journal of Construction Management

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/tjcm/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.





basuki anondho &lt;basukia@ft.untar.ac.id&gt;

---

**214024425 (International Journal of Construction Management) A revise decision has been made on your submission**

1 message

---

**International Journal of Construction Management** <em@editorialmanager.com>

Sat, Aug 7, 2021 at 3:03 PM

Reply-To: International Journal of Construction Management &lt;v.tam@westernsydney.edu.au&gt;

To: Basuki Anondho &lt;basukia@ft.untar.ac.id&gt;

CC: [rm.skitmore@qut.edu.au](mailto:rm.skitmore@qut.edu.au)

Ref.: Ms. No. TJCM-2021-0368R1

The Influence of External Factors on Construction Project Performance Based on Estimated Duration in Jakarta  
International Journal of Construction Management

Dear Anondho,

Your revision is due by Nov 03, 2021.

To submit a revision, go to <https://rp.tandfonline.com/submission/flow?submissionId=214024425&step=1>. If you decide to revise the work, please submit a list of changes or a rebuttal against each point which is being raised when you submit the revised manuscript.

If you have any questions or technical issues, please contact the journal's editorial office at [SP-ingest@journals.tandf.co.uk](mailto:SP-ingest@journals.tandf.co.uk).

Yours sincerely

Martin Skitmore, PhD  
Editor  
International Journal of Construction Management

---

*In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Remove my information/details). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.*



basuki anondho &lt;basukia@ft.untar.ac.id&gt;

## 214024425 (International Journal of Construction Management) A revise decision has been made on your submission

2 messages

International Journal of Construction Management &lt;em@editorialmanager.com&gt;

Wed, Sep 29, 2021 at 3:33 PM

Reply-To: International Journal of Construction Management &lt;v.tam@westernsydney.edu.au&gt;

To: Basuki Anondho &lt;basukia@ft.untar.ac.id&gt;

CC: [rm.skitmore@qut.edu.au](mailto:rm.skitmore@qut.edu.au)

Ref.: Ms. No. TJCM-2021-0368R2

The Influence of External Factors on Construction Project Performance Based on Estimated Duration in Jakarta  
International Journal of Construction Management

Dear Anondho,

Reviewers have now commented on your paper. You will see that they are advising that you revise your manuscript. If you are prepared to undertake the work required, I would be pleased to reconsider my decision.

For your guidance, reviewers' comments are appended below.

Your revision is due by Dec 27, 2021.

To submit a revision, go to <https://rp.tandfonline.com/submission/flow?submissionId=214024425&step=1>. If you decide to revise the work, please submit a list of changes or a rebuttal against each point which is being raised when you submit the revised manuscript.

If you have any questions or technical issues, please contact the journal's editorial office at [SP-ingest@journals.tandf.co.uk](mailto:SP-ingest@journals.tandf.co.uk).

Yours sincerely

Martin Skitmore, PhD  
Editor  
International Journal of Construction Management

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer 1: References: Please check all references used in the citation and vice versa.

1. Several citations in the "body text" are not equipped with references, for example, Maqsoom et al. (2019); (Gong et al. 2011).
2. There is a reference is not used as a citation, for example, Lee, Hyun-soo., Shin, Jae-won., Park, Moonseo., & Ryu, Han-guk. (2009). Probabilistic duration estimation model for high-rise structural work. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, ASCE. 135, 1289-1298; please check all references.
3. There is a reference writing style that is not following other references, for example, Lipke, W., et al. (2009). Prediction of Project Outcome: The Application of Statistical Methods to Earned Value Management and Earned Schedule Performance Indexes. International Journal of Project Management, Elsevier. 27(4).

The paper can be accepted with minor corrections

Reviewer 9: i think this journal is very good because the author explains in detail about the steps taken from the beginning to the conclusion. Yet there is still data that I feel needs to be looked at on the latest statistics so that readers can see the importance of this study on construction project management.

Reviewer 11: Abstract

Line 23: is "Earned Schedule method" actually a variable that could be considered "as the dependent factor"?

Introduction

Line 36: I think "are" should be "the" or totally omit.

Line 39: I think "implication" should be "application"

Line 42: I think "the" should be "these"

Line 57: There is need to include citation for "Data from The Skyscraper Center 2020"

Line 70: The second "project" is redundant and should be removed.

Line 76: Some citations not found under References e.g. "Maqsoom et al. (2019)"

Line 84: "An accurate planning of the project duration prediction..." should be "An accurate prediction of project duration at the planning stage..."

Line 90: Prediction and estimation are the same under this circumstance. I will suggest replacing "prediction and estimation" with "predicted and actual"

Another paragraph introducing the readers to the structure of the paper should be inserted between Lines 96 and 97.

Line 100: I think "that influenced" should be "which are influenced"

Lines 111-120: I can't see the relevance of the text to the study.

Line 194: "EVM" is mentioned for the first time here and as such its full meaning should be written.

Line 201: The graph's axis should be labeled including their units.

Lines 203-237: In line with the 2nd comment under the literature review by the 6th reviewer, this could be replaced with a more critical evaluation of extant literature on Earned Schedule. This will help the readers appreciate the level/extent of studies carried out by previous researchers to address the problem of time overrun with or without Earned Schedule method. By doing this, the authors will also be able to see the need (or otherwise) for this study.

Research Methodology

Between Lines 238 and 239: The authors could introduce the readers to the type of research method and design used for this study here. That is, whether quantitative, qualitative, case study, action research, experimental etc.).

Line 256: I think "that identified" should be "that were identified"

Line 258: "the" should be "and"

Line 264: "selected by officials". The researcher should be the one selecting and not "officials"

Lines 265-267: How were the construction projects and respondents identified (rationale) and selected (i.e. randomly, purposively, snowball etc.).

Line 289: "Prediction Duration" should be "Duration Prediction"

Line 291: Include citation for "Walter Lipke"

Line 296: "Complete" should be "Completion"

Line 305: "Economics" should be "Economic"

Result and Discussion

This section is simply Results. There is no discussion of the results anywhere. The discussion section must either be created (preferable) or combined as it currently is but with clear discussion. The discussion of the key findings must be done in relation to the literature. The findings from the study must be critically compared with the literature and inferences drawn from that comparison.

The subheadings are presented as if being discussed under the methodology section as such should be rephrased. E.g. "Factor Analysis Method" should be something like "Factors Affecting Duration Estimation" and "Regression Multivariate Analysis" should be "The Relationship between external factors and estimated duration" etc.

Line 351: "of" is redundant

Line 366: "show" should be "shows"

Lines 372 and 384: "estimation duration" should be "duration estimation"

Line 387: "prediction ongoing" should be "prediction for ongoing"

Line 389: "Complete Ongoing" should be "Completion for Ongoing"

Lines 399 and 418: "prediction duration" should be "predicted duration"

Line 406: "coefficient Technology" should be "coefficient of the Technology"

08/11/23, 12.17

Tarumanagara University Mail - 214024425 (International Journal of Construction Management) A revise decision has been made o...

*In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.*



**TJCM-2021-0368\_R2\_reviewer.xlsx**  
25K

---

**basuki anondho** <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>  
To: Catherine Michellie Widjaja <ketrnmw@gmail.com>

Fri, Oct 1, 2021 at 2:42 PM

[Quoted text hidden]



**TJCM-2021-0368\_R2\_reviewer.xlsx**  
25K



basuki anondho &lt;basukia@ft.untar.ac.id&gt;

## 214024425 (International Journal of Construction Management) A revise decision has been made on your submission

2 messages

International Journal of Construction Management &lt;em@editorialmanager.com&gt;

Tue, Jan 18, 2022 at 3:22 PM

Reply-To: International Journal of Construction Management &lt;v.tam@westernsydney.edu.au&gt;

To: Basuki Anondho &lt;basukia@ft.untar.ac.id&gt;

CC: [mskitmor@bond.edu.au](mailto:mskitmor@bond.edu.au), [rm.skitmore@qut.edu.au](mailto:rm.skitmore@qut.edu.au)

Ref.: Ms. No. TJCM-2021-0368R3

The Influence of External Factors on Construction Project Performance Based on Estimated Duration in Jakarta  
International Journal of Construction Management

Dear Anondho,

Reviewers have now commented on your paper. You will see that they are advising that you revise your manuscript. If you are prepared to undertake the work required, I would be pleased to reconsider my decision.

For your guidance, reviewers' comments are appended below.

Your revision is due by  
Apr 15, 2022.

To submit a revision, go to <https://rp.tandfonline.com/submission/flow?submissionId=214024425&step=1>. If you decide to revise the work, please submit a list of changes or a rebuttal against each point which is being raised when you submit the revised manuscript.

If you have any questions or technical issues, please contact the journal's editorial office at [SP-ingest@journals.tandf.co.uk](mailto:SP-ingest@journals.tandf.co.uk).

Yours sincerely

Martin Skitmore, PhD  
Editor  
International Journal of Construction Management

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer 11: There is no section heading for the abstract.

Line 45/46: "According to the World Bank..." it would be nice to have a citation of this.

Line 99-116: The structure of the paper is what was requested and not the structure of the research. The research structure as written here looks more like what should be under the methodology. I suggest that this be replaced with the structure of the paper (article) instead.

Line 126: "almost" to be replaced with "most" or "almost all" instead of just almost.

Line 158-159: There is no reference to Figure 2 in the body of preceding text. It is just standing alone and so difficult to understand why it is inserted in the article.

Line 180-182: the statement: "in most countries..." should be supported with citation if it is a statement of fact otherwise be rephrased as a personal opinion.

Line 211: I think "Cost (%)" should be either just "Cost" or "Cost (Rp)"

Line 258: "prediction" should be "predict"

Line 269: "that" should be "as"

Line 271/272: Tables 2 & 3 should be labelled Table 1 since they are continuation of table 1 and not a separate (new) table.

Line 277: "Table 1" should be "Table 4"

Line 328: "Resources" could be better written as "Human Resources"

Line 342/343: There is need to cross check the information presented here as there are 37 factors in table 1 and 12 in table 4.

Line 346: Cross check the "Table 3" label to be sure that it is correct.

Line 394/395: The sentence should be rephrased.

Line 403/404: Why is X24 left out in Variable 1 list?

Line 429: Why is X24 left out in equation?

Line 448: "shown" should be "shows"

Line 456: "needed" should be "needs"

Line 482-486: There is a lot of inconsistencies in the conclusion. First, the authors claimed 10 dominant factors and listed only 9. What happened to the last factor? The authors also claimed that 5 dominant factors were in group Variable 1 instead of 6. What happened to the remaining one?

My major concern is the appropriateness of the use of Measure of Sampling Adequacy in this study as the MAIN dimension reduction tool instead of Factor Analysis. I am of the opinion that MSA should have been used only once to determine the sampling adequacy of data that are to be used for Factor Analysis instead of having 2-iterations in order to eliminate already identified 37-external factors that were subsequently reduced to 12-factors by filtering through official statistic sources.

---

*In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.*

---

**basuki anondho** <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>  
To: Catherine Michellie Widjaja <ketnmw@gmail.com>

Thu, Jan 20, 2022 at 4:31 PM

[Quoted text hidden]



basuki anondho <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>

---

## 214024425 (International Journal of Construction Management) Your submission has been accepted

1 message

---

**International Journal of Construction Management** <em@editorialmanager.com>

Sun, Mar 6, 2022 at 6:45 AM

Reply-To: International Journal of Construction Management <v.tam@westernsydney.edu.au>

To: Basuki Anondho <basukia@ft.untar.ac.id>

CC: [mskitmor@bond.edu.au](mailto:mskitmor@bond.edu.au), [rm.skitmore@qut.edu.au](mailto:rm.skitmore@qut.edu.au)

Mar 05, 2022

Ref.: Ms. No. TJCM-2021-0368R4

214024425

The Influence of External Factors on Construction Project Performance Based on Estimated Duration in Jakarta  
International Journal of Construction Management

Dear Basuki Anondho,

I am pleased to tell you that your work has now been accepted for publication in International Journal of Construction Management.

It was accepted on Mar 05, 2022

Comments from the Editor and Reviewers can be found below.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

With kind regards

Martin Skitmore, PhD

Editor

International Journal of Construction Management

Comments from the Editors and Reviewers:

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/tjcm/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.