



INDONESIA LAW REVIEW

📍 DJOKOSOETONO RESEARCH CENTER (DRC) FACULTY OF LAW UNIVERSITY OF INDONESIA

★ P-ISSN : 23562129 < > E-ISSN : 20888430 🏷️ Subject Area : Social



0

Impact



297

Google Citations



Sinta 1

Current
Accreditation

29 July 2022

No. : 135/UN2.F5.DRC/PPM.00.04/2022
Subject : Peer-Reviewer Statement


Dear Dr. R.M. Gatot P. Soemartono, S.E., S.H., M.M., LL.M.,
Tarumanegara University

This official release serves as our acknowledgement to your expertise and contribution as a **Peer-Reviewer** of Indonesia Law Review, on an article titled,


***The Manifestations of the Good Intention (Iktikad Baik) Principle in Trust and
Confidentiality: The Basic of Arbitration***

We highly appreciate and thank you for your availability in reviewing the above-mentioned article.

Editor in Chief,
Indonesia Law Review



Dr. Patricia Rinwigati, S.H., M.I.L.
NUP100220710251013791



Indonesia Law Review

Review Guidelines

Guidelines for Reviewers of Indonesia Law Review In conducting peer-review, Indonesia Law Review's reviewer is expected to adopt a positive, impartial, but critical attitude toward the manuscript under review, with the aim of promoting effective, accurate, and relevant scientific communication. Please consider the following aspects when reviewing a manuscript:

1. Significance to the target scientific community
2. Originality
3. Appropriateness of the approach
4. Appropriateness of the statistical analyses
5. Adherence to correct scientific nomenclature
6. Appropriate literature citations
7. Soundness of conclusions and interpretation
8. Relevance of discussion
9. Organization
10. Adherence to the Instructions to Authors
11. Adequacy of title and abstract
12. Appropriateness of figures and tables
13. Length

You are not required to correct deficiencies of style, syntax, or grammar, but any help you can give in clarifying meaning will be appreciated. In particular, point out the use of scientific jargon, misspellings of legal terms, and use of outmoded terminology or incorrect nomenclature.

Your criticisms, arguments, and suggestions concerning the paper will be most useful to the editor and to the author if they are carefully documented. Do not make dogmatic, dismissive statements, particularly about the novelty of the work. Substantiate your statements. Reviewer's recommendations are gratefully received by the editor; however, since editorial decisions are usually based on evaluations derived from several sources, reviewers should not expect the editor to honor every recommendation. You will be asked to suggest acceptability as noted on the specific review form (e.g., accept; accept with revision; reject; modify, re-review required). For any ethical issue during the review, Indonesia Law Review adopts norms and value of the COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers (adapted from ASM's Journals Guidelines for Reviewers).